



2022 Neighborhood Economic Development Grant Process Report

This document summarizes the 2022 Neighborhood Economic Development (NED) application process. The NED grant program (formerly ACCBO), provides \$500,000 of CDBG funds toward community based organizations providing economic development in City neighborhoods. Office of Management and Budget's Community Development Division administers this grant according to HUD and City regulations. This document accompanies the 2022 NED Advisory Committee recommendations in the attached legislation and provides context for the decision-making process.

The NED Advisory Committee recommended the following organizations for funding:

Applicant	Grant Request	Award
Hill Community Development Corporation	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000.00
Rising Tide Partners	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000.00
Operation Better Block, Inc.	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000.00
Amani Christian Community Development Corporation	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Rebuilding Together Pittsburgh	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Fineview Citizens Council and PHCC	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
People of Origin Rightfully Loved and Wanted (P.O.O.R.L.A.W)	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Hilltop Alliance	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Bible Center Church, Inc.	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Pittsburgh Hispanic Development Corporation	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Jasmine Nyree Home	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Carrick Community Council	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000.00
Manchester Citizens Corporation	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000.00

The NED program funds organizations at two levels:

General NED Grant	Capacity Building NED Grant
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grant Award: Up to \$40,000 • Term: One year (July 2022-June 2023) • Applicants: Organizations of any size • Projects funded: Economic development in CDBG-eligible neighborhoods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grant Award: Up to \$50,000 annually • Term: Four, one year terms • Applicants: Smaller organizations (>\$75,000 in revenue and expenses each annually) • Projects funded: Economic development in CDBG-eligible neighborhoods

2022 Capacity Building Program

Last year, Manchester Citizens Corporation (MCC) was the first recipient of the NED Capacity Building award. MCC has been a good partner with our office in this program. After selecting MCC as the 2021 Capacity Building recipient, the Community Development Division received feedback from NED Committee Members that the Capacity Building grants should focus on organizations smaller than MCC—some that do not have any paid staff. The Community Development team took this feedback, along with information from previous applicants, to create a new cap for 2022 Capacity Building NED grant. This year Capacity Building grants were limited to organizations with an annual revenue less than \$75,000 and expenses less than \$75,000.

Application Process

This year for the first time, organizations could submit applications through the EngagePGH platform. Moving the application to this platform created one place for applicants to learn about the program and request funding. Similar to previous years, applicants had to submit a NED application along with their most recent IRS 990 tax form. Even though there are two funding levels, organizations could apply for both through one application. Applicants with eligible projects were invited to present to the NED Advisory Committee for a 30 minute presentation. See 2022 NED Application in Appendix A.

Outreach

The NED grant application had a later start date than usual, opening on July 18, 2022. The Community Development Division notified over 400 people through our email list. Also, the Mayor's Office issued a press release and a notice was posted on the Pittsburghpa.gov homepage.

To make sure applicants were aware of changes to the NED program, Community Development released a video explaining the NED program and hosted two Q&A sessions. The two virtual Q&A sessions were held on August 3 and August 5 to answer questions from applicants. See the 2022 NED Schedule in Appendix B for a grant application timeline.

Applicants

By the grant deadline on August 26, 2022, the Community Development Division received 51 applications requesting \$2,177,866 in total— that is \$800,000 more than last year. Twelve of those applicants were seeking Capacity Building funds. This was the largest applicant pools in many years.

Score Criteria

For the first time, the NED program shared a scoring rubric with applicants. NED Committee Members used this same scoring rubric used to rank applicants. The scoring rubric is used for both Capacity Building and General NED.

The General NED score adds up to 90 points with 60 points coming from committee members and 30 points coming from the demographics of the applicant's proposed service area. The Capacity Building score adds up to 80 points with 60 points coming from committee members and 20 points coming from the demographics of the applicant's proposed service area.

The points based on service area consists of demographic data representing low/moderate income populations, single-parent household populations, and the [URA's Market Analysis Map](#). Committee members scored the remaining 60 points on more subjective measures according to prompts written and voted on by Committee members. NED scoresheet in Appendix C.

NED Allocation Meeting

On October 12, 2022, ten of the twelve NED Advisory Committee members met to determine the recommendation to City Council for the NED 2022 Regular grant and Capacity Building grant. The Community Development Division calculated each NED Committee member's score to rank every interviewed applicant. All but one committee member submitted scores.

The NED Advisory Committee voted with eight in favor to recommend Carrick Community Council (CCC)—they had the highest score of any of the Capacity Building applicants. The NED Committee recommends awarding CCC \$50,000, which would be provided annually for four years. Manchester Citizens Council is in their second year of Capacity Building funding. For General NED funding, the Advisory Committee recommended eleven applicants for NED awards. The allocation would fully fund the three highest scoring applicants and provides \$35,000 to the remaining eight lower scoring applicants. See the October 12, 2022 meeting minutes in Appendix D and applicant scores in Appendix E.

NED Committee Members

The NED Advisory Committee consisted of twelve members, representing the eight council districts, the Urban Redevelopment Authority (URA), City Planning, the Mayor's office, as Neighborhood Allies.

- Mohammed Burny, Council District 1
- Kim Salinetto, Council District 2
- Joyce Pagan, Council District 3
- Blake Plavchak, Council District 4
- Vacant: Council District 5
- Daniel Wood, Council District 6
- GL Johnson, Council District 7
- DaVonn Brown, Council District 8
- Marita Bradley, Council District 9
- Felicity Williams, Mayor's Office
- Josette Fitzgibbons, URA
- Stephanie Joy Everett, City Planning
- Glenn Grayson Jr., Neighborhood Allies

Appendix

- Appendix A - NED 2022 Application
- Appendix B - 2022 NED Schedule
- Appendix C - Scoresheet
- Appendix D - NED Committee Allocation Meeting Minutes
- Appendix E - Applicant Scores



CITY OF PITTSBURGH

2022 NEIGHBORHOOD ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT* AND CAPACITY BUILDING GRANT COMBINED APPLICATION

*Formerly named ACCBO

I. THE APPLICANT

1. Organization Legal Name: _____
2. Public Name (if different from above): _____
3. Mailing Address: _____
4. Zip Code: _____
5. Primary Contact Name _____
6. Primary Contact Title: _____
7. Contact Phone Number: _____
8. Contact Email: _____
9. Does the organization have IRS 501(c)3 tax-exempt status? _____
10. Federal employee identification number: _____
11. Unique Entity ID (UEI) Number: _____
If your organization is still waiting for your UEI, write "pending." We will require proof your organization attempted to get a UEI before the deadline.

12. Does your organization currently have general liability insurance? Yes No

13. List the provider and expiration date of your general liability insurance.

14. Does your organization have paid staff?:_____

15. Does your organization currently have workers compensation insurance?

16. Has the organization previously applied for NED (ACCBO) funding? Yes No

17. Please describe the type of financial management system that your organization uses. For example, bookkeeper on staff, financial consultant, computerized system, board member, etc.

II. THE PROJECT

1. Project Title: _____

2. Project Description: (Limit 1,750 characters)

3. List the neighborhood and census tracts impacted by this project. Go to <https://gis.pittsburghpa.gov/cdbg/> for a map of census tracts.

4. Which eligible activity or activities will this grant fund?

III. GRANT SPENDING PLAN

1. Total NED Grant Request*: _____

*If capacity building applicants ask for \$50,000, they will also be considered for \$40,000 in the regular NED program (max request for regular NED)

Enter the amount of NED grant funding you will allocate to each of these categories. Do your best to fit them in these categories. Make sure they add up to the grant request.

2. Personnel - Grant Allocation _____

3. Professional Services - Grant Allocation _____

4. Real Estate Acquisition/Holding Costs - Grant Allocation _____

5. Program Supplies - Grant Allocation _____

6. Other Category - Grant Allocation _____

7. Is there outside funding for this project? How much funding is secured?

8. Explain the allocations above in more detail. For example, if you request funds to pay staff or a consultant, what are their responsibilities or their scope of work? (Limit 1,500 characters)

V. CAPACITY BUILDING APPLICANTS ONLY (Organizations with Less Than \$75,000 in Revenue and Expenses)

- a. Revenue* for the last 3 fiscal years:
 - i. Revenue: _____ Year: _____
 - ii. Revenue: _____ Year: _____
 - iii. Revenue: _____ Year: _____

- b. Expenses* for the last 3 fiscal years:
 - i. Expenses: _____ Year: _____
 - ii. Expenses: _____ Year: _____
 - iii. Expenses: _____ Year: _____

*Use the amounts calculated for tax returns. Only list for years your organization has filed tax returns.

- c. How will the Capacity Building Grant help your organization achieve its goals?
Note: Limit 1,500 characters

IV. APPLICATION SUBMISSION

In order to be considered for funding, the application **MUST** be accompanied by the following attachment:

Attachment: Most recently submitted IRS 990 form.

As an authorized representative of this organization, I verify that all of the information provided is true and correct. (Please print full name and today's date):

Print Full Name: _____ **Date:** _____

You must submit your application on <<https://engage.pittsburghpa.gov/2022-NED-grant>>. Do not email this word document.

2022 Neighborhood Economic Development (NED) Schedule

February	
02.07.22	Email City Council/URA/Planning for NED Committee appointees and first meeting date
02.14.22	Finalize 2022 NED Committee Members
June	
06.27.22	NED Advisory Committee 2022 meeting (Mandatory)
July	
07.18.22	NED 2022 APPLICATION OPEN
07.22.22	Release recorded video explaining NED application
August	
08.03.22	Wednesday live application Q&A for Capacity Building applicants (6:00-7:00 PM)
08.05.22	Friday live application Q&A (12-1 PM)
08.26.22	NED 2022 APPLICATION DEADLINE
September	
09.12.22	NED Committee meeting: review eligible and ineligible applications and presentation logistics
09.15.22 - 09.30.22	Presentations: Tuesday 1:00P-4:00P, Wednesday 1:00P-4:00P, Thursday 9:30A-12:00P
09.16.22, 09.23.22, 09.30.22	Optional weekly meetings summarizing interviews for NED Committee members
10.07.22	NED Committee DEADLINE to Submit Scoresheets
October	
10.12.22	Final NED Committee meeting: Scoring and Allocation Recommendation

Committee Member:	
Applicant:	

Instructions: Carefully read scoresheet questions and type your score in the "YOUR SCORE" Column. The notes section is for your personal use only. Total scores will calculate automatically. Please add your last name to the name of the file before you submit your final scoresheet.

Organization Feedback		
What was the strongest aspect of the application/presentation?		What aspect of the application/presentation could be improved?

QUESTIONS		Total Points Possible	YOUR SCORE	Notes
1. Project proposal has measurable, appropriate goals that address the identified community challenges. Identified goals are reasonable and based in data. (Keep in mind NED grant might only be covering a portion of entire project).		10		
1 - 3 Points	Group failed to propose reasonable, practical, effective solution to identified problem. Proposed project will have little to no impact on the community. Proposed project does not address identified community challenges.			
4 - 7 Points	Proposal is somewhat reasonable, somewhat appropriate response to identified neighborhood issues. Project proposal might be thoughtful, measurable, or effective, but is lacking depth, measurable goals, or there are concerns with how effective the project may be at addressing identified community concerns and challenges. Proposal is lacking clear metrics, could face implementation challenges that were not addressed in the application or presentation.			
8 - 10 Points	Proposed project is reasonable, appropriate response to identified community concerns or challenges. Project proposal is very thoughtful, measurable, and effective. Organization has clear metrics to measure success, has thought deeply, creatively, and strategically. Proposal is backed by data and has an implementation timeline. In addition to above, for Capacity Building Organizations - If not included, proposal has potential for measurable and appropriate goals that can be represented through the use of data and has a creative plan for implementation.			
2. Does the project proposal show potential for long lasting impact?		10		
1 - 3 Points	Proposed project is not sustainable, does not increase the sustainability of the organization.			
4 - 7 Points	Proposed project is somewhat sustainable, somewhat increases organization's impact, could more significantly impact the organization's capacity and growth, but may not be clear on how NED funding will help the organization increase sustainability. Did not make clear connection on long lasting positive changes that would be achieved through NED funding.			
8 - 10 Points	Proposed project is sustainable or substantially increases organization's sustainability. Project increases organization's impact, and capacity, allows organization to leverage additional funding, and project shows high impact, long lasting positive change in their community.			
3. Organization clearly articulates the role NED funding will play in supporting the project.		10		
1 - 3 Points	Organization has little to no explanation of how grant funding will actually be spent to accomplish project proposal, and provides little to no explanation of the role grant funding plays in budget as a whole.			
4 - 7 Points	Organization is missing crucial details on how grant funding will be spent, or gives less than satisfactory explanation of the role the grant funding plays in their budget as a whole, or how funding gaps will be addressed.			
8 - 10 Points	Organization is able to provide a clear explanation of how grant funding will be spent - i.e. what staff salaries the funding will go towards and that staffer's responsibilities. The organization can also explain the role this grant plays in their budget as a whole, and how funding gaps will be addressed. In addition to above for Capacity Building Organizations – group demonstrates how NED funding will assist with the growth and strengthening of the group and its community.			
4. Applicant prioritizes community engagement and demonstrates capacity and willingness to engage their community to build community consensus.		10		
1 - 3 Points	Organization makes little to no effort to engage with their community, community meetings or programming are not well attended or well advertised. Organization has no online presence, and no plan for improvement is offered.			
4 - 7 Points	Somewhat consistent community meetings with average attendance, but organization is actively making efforts to improve outreach, meeting attendance, and/or online engagement. Organization is aware of the importance of community engagement, but does not have strong plan to improve their engagement.			
8 - 10 Points	For Higher Capacity Organizations - holds consistent, well attended, well advertised community meetings. CDC has demonstrated capacity to elicit community feedback. CDC strives for robust community engagement, via in person meetings and/or online. Board members work to empower community engagement and expand community leadership and participation through consistent board turn over and competitive elections. For Capacity Building Organizations - demonstrates strong community ties and high engagement through in person or online presence. Groups should also earn a high score here if they demonstrate a robust plan to increase community engagement, representation, and empowerment as part of their NED application.			
5. Organization understands their community's concerns, pressing needs, and vision. Community challenges, needs, or concerns are clearly identified and are supported by data.		10		
1 - 3 Points	Identified community challenges are not well thought-out, do not effect the majority of residents in the neighborhood, or are not based in data or community feedback.			
4 - 7 Points	Organization has a general idea of community concerns and pressing needs, but may be missing details or has not demonstrated data collection through surveys or other mechanisms, or lacks robust plans to collect data.			
8 - 10 Points	For Higher Capacity Organizations - can go into details of existing and most pressing challenges in their community and how challenges affect existing residents, new residents, and vulnerable populations. Organization demonstrates community outreach and/or data to support proposal. For Capacity Building Organizations - if needed, has plan to gather more input and data on specific community needs, or knows where its gaps are and is working to fix them.			
6. Applicant actively seeks to build partnerships and works well in collaboration with other organizations, entities, and government.		10		
1 - 3 Points	Organization has no partnerships, does not have interest or any priorities to build partnerships. Organization has reputation of being difficult to work with.			
4 - 7 Points	Organization has some partnerships, but partnerships are not robust, and do not directly address community challenges and project goals.			
8 - 10 Points	For Higher Capacity Organizations - has strong, active partnerships that provide substantial support through technical or monetary assistance. Partnerships directly address community challenges or aide in accomplishing project goals. (For organizations that provide capacity: Organization provides substantial support and significantly increase organizational impact, capacity, education, or other similar services to partner organization). For Capacity Building Organizations - Has been developing partnerships or is actively pursuing partnerships to address community needs. Partnerships have potential to significantly increase organizational impact or capacity.			
AUTOMATICALLY CALCULATED				
Single parent head of household population concentration in projected service area		10		
Low/Moderate income (LMI) population concentration of projected service area Project LMI %*10 (ONLY for GENERAL NED AWARD)		10		
URA Market Index A = 2 B = 3 C = 4 D = 5 E = 6 F = 7 G = 8 H = 9 I = 10		10		
GENERAL NED SCORE		90	0	
CAPACITY BUILDING NED SCORE		80	0	



**NED Advisory Committee 2022
Meeting Minutes
October 12, 2022**

NED Advisory Committee member present:

Mohammed Burny	Chief of Staff	City Council District 1
Kim Salinetto	Chief of Staff	City Council District 2
Joyce Pagan	Legislative Aide	City Council District 3
Blake Plavchack	Chief of Staff	City Council District 4
GL Johnson	Legislative Aide	City Council District 7
DaVonn Brown	Community Engagement	City Council District 8
Felicity Williams	Deputy Chief of Staff	Mayor's Office
Stephanie Joy Everett	Principle Planner	Dept. City Planning
Glenn Grayson Jr.	Senior Program Manager	Neighborhood Allies
Josette Fitzgibbons	Manager of Neighborhood Business Districts	URA

NED Advisory Committee members absent:

Daniel Wood	Chief of Staff	City Council District 6
Marita Bradley	Chief of Staff	City Council District 9

Staff present (non-voting):

Joshua Rolon	Senior Program Coordinator	OMB
Whitney Finnstrom	Senior Program Manager	OMB
David Hutchinson	Assistant Director	OMB

- I. **Purpose of the meeting:** To determine the NED Advisory Committee's 2022 NED grant allocations (Capacity Building and General NED funds).
- II. **Abstentions:** On the recommendation of the City of Pittsburgh Law Department, Josette Fitzgibbons has decided to abstain from voting on the following applicants:
 - a) Bloomfield-Garfield Corporation
 - b) Casa San Jose
 - c) Charles Street Area Corporation
 - d) Fineview Citizens Council
 - e) Hazelwood Initiative
 - f) Hill Community Development Corporation



- g) Hilltop Alliance
- h) People of Origin Rightfully Loved and Wanted (P.O.O.R.L.A.W)
- i) Perry Hilltop Citizens Council LLC
- j) Rising Tide Partners

Josette Fitzgibbons said she understood the concern from the Law Department, but pointed out that the URA does not sell property with the same intentions as a private owner that would have a conflict of interest.

Felicity Williams also stated that she would be abstaining from voting on InnovatePGH since she is on their board of directors.

III. **Recommendations for NED Awards:**

Joshua Rolon shared the voting results for the General NED and Capacity Building awards. Discussion on applicants seeking funding for a shared staff arrangement such as Hilltop Alliance with Carrick Community Council or Fineview Citizens Council with Perry Hilltop Citizens Council. Carrick Community Council's application works with Hilltop Alliance to manage a staff person focused on Carrick neighborhood—the concern is that Hilltop Alliance would benefit without Carrick Community Council actually building capacity. Other committee members disagreed and pointed out that Fineview Citizen and Perry Hilltop Citizen Councils presented their application as one organization with a single executive director serving two neighborhoods while Carrick Community Council and Hilltop Alliance do not regularly work together on projects.

Committee members expressed that Fineview Citizens Council and Perry Hilltop Citizens Council should receive one grant allocation this year despite submitting two applications. Committee members said that the application and presentation demonstrated one set of staff working on projects that impacted two neighborhoods instead of two distinct sets of projects for each neighborhood.

A few committee members suggested that if the committee recommended to fund each applicant at \$35,000, more applicants could be. Other committee members felt that reducing the allocated amounts would be unfair to organizations that have requested \$40,000 and will need to find that funding some other way. After discussing the pros and cons of spreading funding to more organizations, committee members proposed the organizations with the top three scores for General NED program to receive their grant request at \$40,000 and the remaining eight organizations receive \$35,000.

IV. **Voting**



As noted at the beginning of the meeting Josette Fitzgibbons has decided to abstain from voting for applicants in negotiation with the URA to buy properties Because of this, separate votes were conducted to allow Josette to abstain. The results of those votes are below:

General NED Recommendations

Hill Community Development Corporation awarded at \$40,000

In Favor: Burny, Salinetto, Pagan, Plavchack, Everett, Brown

Against: Johnson, Grayson

Abstained: Williams, Fitzgibbons

Rising Tide Partners awarded at \$40,000

In Favor: Burny, Salinetto, Plavchack, Everett, Brown, Williams

Against: Johnson, Grayson

Abstained: Pagan, Fitzgibbons

Glen Grayson Jr. said that he was opposed to allocating applicants less than their requested amount, but that he did not oppose the applicants selected for funding.

Fineview Citizen Council and Perry Hilltop Citizen Council awarded at \$35,000

In Favor: Burny, Salinetto, Pagan, Plavchack, Everett, Brown, Williams, Grayson

Against: Johnson

Abstained: Fitzgibbons

People of Origin Rightfully Loved and Wanted (P.O.O.R.L.A.W) awarded at \$35,000

In Favor: Burny, Salinetto, Pagan, Plavchack, Everett, Brown, Williams, Grayson

Against: Johnson

Abstained: Fitzgibbons

Hilltop Alliance awarded at \$35,000

In Favor: Burny, Salinetto, Plavchack, Everett, Brown, Williams

Against: Johnson, Grayson

Abstained: Pagan, Fitzgibbons

Operation Better Block awarded at \$40,000

Amani Christian Community Development Corporation awarded at \$40,000

Rebuilding Together Pittsburgh awarded at \$35,000

Bible Center Church, Inc. awarded at \$35,000



Pittsburgh Hispanic Development Corporation awarded at \$35,000

Jasmine Nyree Home awarded at \$35,000

In Favor: Burny, Salinetto, Pagan, Plavchack, Everett, Brown, Williams, Grayson, Fitzgibbons

Against: Johnson

Abstained: None

NED Capacity Building Recommendations

Carrick Community Council awarded at \$50,000

Manchester Citizens Corporation awarded at \$50,000

In Favor: Burny, Salinetto, Plavchack, Brown, Everett, Williams, Grayson, Fitzgibbons

Against: Johnson

Abstained: Pagan

V. End of Meeting

Minutes Prepared by: Joshua Rolon

GENERAL NED RANKING

ORG NAME	General NED Score	Grant Request	General NED Allocation
Hill Community Development Corporation	77.70	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000.00
Rising Tide Partners	74.21	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000.00
Operation Better Block, Inc.	73.37	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000.00
Amani Christian Community Development Corporation	72.98	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Rebuilding Together Pittsburgh	72.95	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Fineview Citizens Council and PHCC	71.72	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Perry Hilltop Citizens Council LLC	71.55	\$ 40,000	
People of Origin Rightfully Loved and Wanted (P.O.O.R.L.A.W)	69.97	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Hilltop Alliance	69.69	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Bible Center Church, Inc.	69.58	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Pittsburgh Hispanic Development Corporation	69.14	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Jasmine Nyree Home	68.31	\$ 40,000	\$ 35,000.00
Charles Street Area Corporation	68.01	\$ 50,000	
Hazelwood Initiative, Inc.	66.99	\$ 40,000	
Carrick Community Council	66.98	\$ 50,000	
The Brashear Association, Inc.	66.72	\$ 40,000	
Casa San Jose	66.69	\$ 40,000	
Garfield Jubilee Association, Inc.	66.17	\$ 40,000	
Brightwood Civic Group	66.11	\$ 40,000	
Larimer Consensus Group	65.93	\$ 40,000	
Uptown Partners	64.78	\$ 40,000	
Center of Life	64.63	\$ 40,000	
Bloomfield Development Corporation	64.35	\$ 40,000	
Bloomfield-Garfield Corporation	64.16	\$ 38,750	
Polish Hill Civic Association	64.14	\$ 39,116	
Mount Washington Community Development Corporation	60.85	\$ 40,000	
Lawrenceville United	60.74	\$ 40,000	
Lawrenceville Corporation	59.36	\$ 40,000	
Oakland Planning & Development Corporation	58.66	\$ 40,000	
Community Alliance Of Spring Garden - East Deutschtown	58.55	\$ 50,000	
InnovatePGH	57.72	\$ 20,000	
South Side Community Council of Pittsburgh, Inc	50.87	\$ 50,000	
Total	66.36		\$ 400,000.00

CAPACITY BUILDING NED RANKING

ORG NAME	Capacity Building NED Score	Grant Request	Capacity Building NED Allocation
Carrick Community Council	60.90	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
Charles Street Area Corporation	60.19	\$ 50,000	
Community Alliance Of Spring Garden - East Deutschtown	52.31	\$ 50,000	
South Side Community Council of Pittsburgh, Inc	45.14	\$ 50,000	
Manchester Citizens Council (Year 2 of 4)		\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
Total	54.63		\$ 100,000