



GATEWAY

On Call. On Time. On Target.

C-41080-0004

August 2023

City Club Apartments 305 Wood Street

305 Wood Street
City of Pittsburgh
Allegheny County, PA

PREPARED FOR

John Schlitter
City Club Apartments, LLC
31700 Middlebelt Road, Suite 140
Farmington Hills, MI 48334-2302

SUBMITTED BY

Quincy R. Sterner, E.I.T.
The Gateway Engineers, Inc.
100 McMorris Road
Pittsburgh, PA 15205
412.857.5475 PHONE
412.921.9960 FAX
www.gatewayengineers.com
qsterner@gatewayengineers.com

SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE



A FULL-SERVICE CIVIL ENGINEERING FIRM

EXHIBITS

- EXHIBIT A. Sewage Facilities Planning Module (SFPM) – Component 3 – Sewage Collection and Treatment Facilities
- EXHIBIT B. Project Narrative
- EXHIBIT C. USGS Site Location Map
- EXHIBIT D. Sewage Flow Calculations
- EXHIBIT E. Hydraulic Capacity Calculations (Signed and Sealed)
- EXHIBIT F. Alternatives Analysis
- EXHIBIT G. Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Receipt
- EXHIBIT H. Plot Plan
- EXHIBIT I. Water and Sewer Availability Letter
- EXHIBIT J. Sewage Facilities Planning Module Component 4A
- EXHIBIT K. Sewage Facilities Planning Module Component 4C

EXHIBIT A.

**Sewage Facilities Planning Module (SFPM) – Component 3 – Sewage
Collection and Treatment Facilities**



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE MANAGEMENT

Code No.

SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE

Component 3. Sewage Collection and Treatment Facilities

(Return completed module package to appropriate municipality)

DEP USE ONLY				
DEP CODE #	CLIENT ID #	SITE ID #	APS ID #	AUTH ID #

This planning module component is used to fulfill the planning requirements of Act 537 for the following types of projects: (1) a subdivision to be served by sewage collection, conveyance or treatment facilities, (2) a tap-in to an existing collection system with flows on a lot of 2 EDU's or more, or (3) the construction of, or modification to, wastewater collection, conveyance or treatment facilities that will require DEP to issue or modify a Clean Streams Law permit. Planning for any project that will require DEP to issue or modify a permit cannot be processed by a delegated agency. Delegated agencies must send their projects to DEP for final planning approval.

This component, along with any other documents specified in the cover letter, must be completed and submitted to the municipality with jurisdiction over the project site for review and approval. All required documentation must be attached for the Sewage Facilities Planning Module to be complete. Refer to the instructions for help in completing this component.

REVIEW FEES: Amendments to the Sewage Facilities Act established fees to be paid by the developer for review of planning modules for land development. These fees may vary depending on the approving agency for the project (DEP or delegated local agency). Please see section R and the instructions for more information on these fees.

NOTE: All projects must complete Sections A through I, and Sections O through R. Complete Sections J, K, L, M and/or N if applicable or marked .

A. PROJECT INFORMATION (See Section A of instructions)

1. Project Name 305 Wood Street

2. Brief Project Description The proposed development is construction of a 20-story multi-family apartment building atop an existing four-story building in downtown Pittsburgh. This will include approximately 300 units, enlarged bike room storage, a skyclub and outdoor amenity spaces, and related necessary amenities for residential development.

B. CLIENT (MUNICIPALITY) INFORMATION (See Section B of instructions)

Municipality Name	County	City	Boro	Twp
City of Pittsburgh, 1 st Ward	Allegheny	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Municipality Contact Individual - Last Name	First Name	MI	Suffix	Title
Prendergast	Kyla			Senior Environmental Planner

Additional Individual Last Name	First Name	MI	Suffix	Title
---------------------------------	------------	----	--------	-------

Municipality Mailing Address Line 1	Mailing Address Line 2
Department of City Planning	200 Ross Street, 4 th Floor

Address Last Line -- City	State	ZIP+4
Pittsburgh	PA	15219

Area Code + Phone + Ext.	FAX (optional)	Email (optional)
412-255-2516		kyla.prendergast@pittsburghpa.gov

C. SITE INFORMATION (See Section C of instructions)

Site (Land Development or Project) Name

305 Wood Street

Site Location Line 1

305 Wood Street

Site Location Line 2

Site Location Last Line -- City

Pittsburgh

State

PA

ZIP+4

15222

Latitude

40.43915

Longitude

-80.00175

Detailed Written Directions to Site From Point State Park, head south on Commonwealth Place toward Lincoln Hwy. Turn left onto Blvd of the Allies. Turn left onto Stanwix Street. Turn right onto Fourth Ave. The destination will be on the right.

Description of Site The site presently is a four-story building previously known as the YWCA building. It is largely vacant save for some occupation by YWCA, Point Park University's Center for Media Innovation, and the restaurant "Mandarin Gourmet".

Site Contact (Developer/Owner)

Last Name

Schlitter

First Name

John

MI

Suffix

Phone

310-948-3197

Ext.

Site Contact Title

Sr. Director of Development

FAX

Site Contact Firm (if none, leave blank)

City Club Apartments, LLC

Email

jschlitter@cityclubapts.com

Mailing Address Line 1

31700 Middlebelt Rd, Suite 140

Mailing Address Last Line -- City

Farmington Hills

Mailing Address Line 2

State

MI

ZIP+4

48334-2302

D. PROJECT CONSULTANT INFORMATION (See Section D of instructions)

Last Name

Donnelly

First Name

Sean

MI

M

Suffix

P.E.

Title

Project Manager

Consulting Firm Name

The Gateway Engineers, Inc.

Mailing Address Line 1

100 McMorris Rd

Mailing Address Line 2

Address Last Line -- City

Pittsburgh

State

PA

ZIP+4

15205-9401

Country

USA

Email

sdonnelly@gatewayengineers.com

Area Code + Phone

412-921-4030

Ext.

146

Area Code + FAX

412-921-9960

E. AVAILABILITY OF DRINKING WATER SUPPLY

The project will be provided with drinking water from the following source: (Check appropriate box)

- Individual wells or cisterns.
- A proposed public water supply.
- An existing public water supply.

If existing public water supply is to be used, provide the name of the water company and attach documentation from the water company stating that it will serve the project.

Name of water company: Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA)

F. PROJECT NARRATIVE (See Section F of instructions)

- A narrative has been prepared as described in Section F of the instructions and is attached.

The applicant may choose to include additional information beyond that required by Section F of the instructions.

G. PROPOSED WASTEWATER DISPOSAL FACILITIES (See Section G of instructions)

Check all boxes that apply, and provide information on collection, conveyance and treatment facilities and EDU's served. This information will be used to determine consistency with Chapter 93 (relating to wastewater treatment requirements).

1. COLLECTION SYSTEM

a. Check appropriate box concerning collection system

- New collection system Pump Station Force Main
 Grinder pump(s) Extension to existing collection system Expansion of existing facility

Clean Streams Law Permit Number _____

b. Answer questions below on collection system

Number of EDU's and proposed connections to be served by collection system. EDU's 149

Connections 1

Name of:

existing collection or conveyance system Third Avenue (18" VCP)

owner The Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority

existing interceptor Monongahela River Interceptor (M-03)

owner Allegheny County Sanitary Authority (ALCOSAN)

2. WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

Check all boxes that apply, and provide information on collection, conveyance and treatment facilities and EDU's served. This information will be used to determine consistency with Chapter(s) 91 (relating to general provisions), 92 (relating to national Pollution Discharge Elimination System permitting, monitoring and compliance) and 93 (relating to water quality standards).

a. Check appropriate box and provide requested information concerning the treatment facility

- New facility Existing facility Upgrade of existing facility Expansion of existing facility

Name of existing facility ALCOSAN Woods Run WWTP

NPDES Permit Number for existing facility PA0025984

Clean Streams Law Permit Number _____

Location of discharge point for a new facility. Latitude 40°28'34" N Longitude 80°02'44" W

b. The following certification statement must be completed and signed by the wastewater treatment facility permittee or their representative.

As an authorized representative of the permittee, I confirm that the ALCOSAN Woods Run
(Name from above) sewage treatment facilities can accept sewage flows from this project without adversely affecting the facility's ability to achieve all applicable technology and water quality based effluent limits (see Section I) and conditions contained in the NPDES permit identified above.

Name of Permittee Agency, Authority, Municipality ALCOSAN

Name of Responsible Agent Shawn P. McWilliams, EIT

Agent Signature Shawn P. McWilliams Date 07/17/2023

(Also see Section I. 4.)

G. PROPOSED WASTEWATER DISPOSAL FACILITIES (Continued)

3. PLOT PLAN

The following information is to be submitted on a plot plan of the proposed subdivision.

- a. Existing and proposed buildings.
- b. Lot lines and lot sizes.
- c. Adjacent lots.
- d. Remainder of tract.
- e. Existing and proposed sewerage facilities. Plot location of discharge point, land application field, spray field, COLDS, or LVCOLDS if a new facility is proposed.
- f. Show tap-in or extension to the point of connection to existing collection system (if applicable).
- g. Existing and proposed water supplies and surface water (wells, springs, ponds, streams, etc.)
- h. Existing and proposed rights-of-way.
- i. Existing and proposed buildings, streets, roadways, access roads, etc.
- j. Any designated recreational or open space area.
- k. Wetlands - from National Wetland Inventory Mapping and USGS Hydric Soils Mapping.
- l. Flood plains or Flood prone areas, floodways, (Federal Flood Insurance Mapping)
- m. Prime Agricultural Land.
- n. Any other facilities (pipelines, power lines, etc.)
- o. Orientation to north.
- p. Locations of all site testing activities (soil profile test pits, slope measurements, permeability test sites, background sampling, etc. (if applicable).
- q. Soils types and boundaries when a land based system is proposed.
- r. Topographic lines with elevations when a land based system is proposed

4. WETLAND PROTECTION

YES NO

- a. Are there wetlands in the project area? If yes, ensure these areas appear on the plot plan as shown in the mapping or through on-site delineation.
- b. Are there any construction activities (encroachments, or obstructions) proposed in, along, or through the wetlands? If yes, Identify any proposed encroachments on wetlands and identify whether a General Permit or a full encroachment permit will be required. If a full permit is required, address time and cost impacts on the project. Note that wetland encroachments should be avoided where feasible. Also note that a feasible alternative **MUST BE SELECTED** to an identified encroachment on an exceptional value wetland as defined in Chapter 105. Identify any project impacts on streams classified as HQ or EV and address impacts of the permitting requirements of said encroachments on the project.

5. PRIME AGRICULTURAL LAND PROTECTION

YES NO

- Will the project involve the disturbance of prime agricultural lands?
If yes, coordinate with local officials to resolve any conflicts with the local prime agricultural land protection program. The project must be consistent with such municipal programs before the sewage facilities planning module package may be submitted to DEP.
If no, prime agricultural land protection is not a factor to this project.
- Have prime agricultural land protection issues been settled?

6. HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT

YES NO

- Sufficient documentation is attached to confirm that this project is consistent with DEP Technical Guidance 012-0700-001 *Implementation of the PA State History Code* (available online at the DEP website at www.dep.state.pa.us, select "subject" then select "technical guidance"). As a minimum this includes copies of the completed Cultural Resources Notice

(CRN), a return receipt for its submission to the PHMC and the PHMC review letter.

7. PROTECTION OF RARE, ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES

Check one:

- The "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Project Environmental Review Receipt" resulting from my search of the PNDI database and all supporting documentation from jurisdictional agencies (when necessary) is/are attached.
- A completed "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Project Planning & Environmental Review Form," (PNDI Form) available at www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us, and all required supporting documentation is attached. I request DEP staff to complete the required PNDI search for my project. I realize that my planning module will be considered incomplete upon submission to the Department and that the DEP review will not begin, and that processing of my planning module will be delayed, until a "PNDI Project Environmental Review Receipt" and all supporting documentation from jurisdictional agencies (when necessary) is/are received by DEP.

Applicant or Consultant Initials _____.

H. ALTERNATIVE SEWAGE FACILITIES ANALYSIS (See Section H of instructions)

- An alternative sewage facilities analysis has been prepared as described in Section H of the attached instructions and is attached to this component.
The applicant may choose to include additional information beyond that required by Section H of the attached instructions.

I. COMPLIANCE WITH WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS (See Section I of instructions) (Check and complete all that apply.)

1. Waters designated for Special Protection

- The proposed project will result in a new or increased discharge into special protection waters as identified in Title 25, Pennsylvania Code, Chapter 93. The Social or Economic Justification (SEJ) required by Section 93.4c. is attached.

2. Pennsylvania Waters Designated As Impaired

- The proposed project will result in a new or increased discharge of a pollutant into waters that DEP has identified as being impaired by that pollutant. A pre-planning meeting was held with the appropriate DEP regional office staff to discuss water quality based discharge limitations.

3. Interstate and International Waters

- The proposed project will result in a new or increased discharge into interstate or international waters. A pre-planning meeting was held with the appropriate DEP regional office staff to discuss effluent limitations necessary to meet the requirements of the interstate or international compact.

4. Tributaries To The Chesapeake Bay

- The proposed project result in a new or increased discharge of sewage into a tributary to the Chesapeake Bay. This proposal for a new sewage treatment facility or new flows to an existing facility includes total nitrogen and total phosphorus in the following amounts: _____ pounds of TN per year, and _____ pounds of TP per year. Based on the process design and effluent limits, the total nitrogen treatment capacity of the wastewater treatment facility is _____ pounds per year and the total phosphorus capacity is _____ pounds per year as determined by the wastewater treatment facility permittee. The permittee has determined that the additional TN and TP to be contributed by this project (as modified by credits and/or offsets to be provided) will not cause the discharge to exceed the annual total mass limits for these parameters. Documentation of compliance with nutrient allocations is attached.

Name of Permittee Agency, Authority, Municipality _____

Initials of Responsible Agent (See Section G 2.b) _____

See *Special Instructions* (Form 3800-FM-BPNPSM0353-1) for additional information on Chesapeake Bay watershed requirements.

J. CHAPTER 94 CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION (See Section J of instructions)

Projects that propose the use of existing municipal collection, conveyance or wastewater treatment facilities, or the construction of collection and conveyance facilities to be served by existing municipal wastewater treatment facilities must be consistent with the requirements of Title 25, Chapter 94 (relating to Municipal Wasteload Management). If not previously included in Section F, include a general map showing the path of the sewage to the treatment facility. If more than one municipality or authority will be affected by the project, please obtain the information required in this section for each. Additional sheets may be attached for this purpose.

1. Project Flows 59,284 gpd
2. Total Sewage Flows to Facilities (pathway from point of origin through treatment plant)

When providing "treatment facilities" sewage flows, use Annual Average Daily Flow for "average" and Maximum Monthly Average Daily Flow for "peak" in all cases. For "peak flows" in "collection" and "conveyance" facilities, indicate whether these flows are "peak hourly flow" or "peak instantaneous flow" and how this figure was derived (i.e., metered, measured, estimated, etc.).

- a. Enter average and peak sewage flows for each proposed or existing facility as designed or permitted.
- b. Enter the average and peak sewage flows for the most restrictive sections of the existing sewage facilities.
- c. Enter the average and peak sewage flows, projected for 5 years (2 years for pump stations) through the most restrictive sections of the existing sewage facilities. Include existing, proposed (this project) and future project (other approved projects) flows.

To complete the table, refer to the instructions, Section J.

	a. Design and/or Permitted Capacity (gpd)		b. Present Flows (gpd)		c. Projected Flows in 5 years (gpd) (2 years for P.S.)	
	Average	Peak	Average	Peak	Average	Peak
Collection	1993000	6974000	3000	181000	72100	252300
Conveyance						
Treatment						

3. Collection and Conveyance Facilities

The questions below are to be answered by the sewer authority, municipality, or agency responsible for completing the Chapter 94 report for the collection and conveyance facilities. These questions should be answered in coordination with the latest Chapter 94 annual report and the above table. The individual(s) signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

YES NO

- a. YES NO This project proposes sewer extensions or tap-ins. Will these actions create a hydraulic overload within five years on any existing collection or conveyance facilities that are part of the system?

If yes, this sewage facilities planning module will not be accepted for review by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until all inconsistencies with Chapter 94 are resolved or unless there is an approved Corrective Action Plan (CAP) granting an allocation for this project. A letter granting allocations to this project under the CAP must be attached to the module package.

If no, a representative of the sewer authority, municipality, or agency responsible for completing the Chapter 94 report for the collection and conveyance facilities must sign below to indicate that the collection and conveyance facilities have adequate capacity and are able to provide service to the proposed development in accordance with both §71.53(d)(3) and Chapter 94 requirements and that this proposal will not affect that status.

b. Collection System

Name of Agency, Authority, Municipality PWSA

Name of Responsible Agent Robert Herring

Agent Signature *Rob Herring* Rob Herring Date 6/28/2023

2023-06-28
08:34:44 -04'00'

☒ J. CHAPTER 94 CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION (See Section J of instructions)

Projects that propose the use of existing municipal collection, conveyance or wastewater treatment facilities, or the construction of collection and conveyance facilities to be served by existing municipal wastewater treatment facilities must be consistent with the requirements of Title 25, Chapter 94 (relating to Municipal Wasteload Management). If not previously included in Section F, include a general map showing the path of the sewage to the treatment facility. If more than one municipality or authority will be affected by the project, please obtain the information required in this section for each. Additional sheets may be attached for this purpose.

1. Project Flows 59,284 gpd
2. Total Sewage Flows to Facilities (pathway from point of origin through treatment plant)

When providing "treatment facilities" sewage flows, use Annual Average Daily Flow for "average" and Maximum Monthly Average Daily Flow for "peak" in all cases. For "peak flows" in "collection" and "conveyance" facilities, indicate whether these flows are "peak hourly flow" or "peak instantaneous flow" and how this figure was derived (i.e., metered, measured, estimated, etc.).

- a. Enter average and peak sewage flows for each proposed or existing facility as designed or permitted.
- b. Enter the average and peak sewage flows for the most restrictive sections of the existing sewage facilities.
- c. Enter the average and peak sewage flows, projected for 5 years (2 years for pump stations) through the most restrictive sections of the existing sewage facilities. Include existing, proposed (this project) and future project (other approved projects) flows.

To complete the table, refer to the instructions, Section J.

	a. Design and/or Permitted Capacity (gpd)		b. Present Flows (gpd)		c. Projected Flows in 5 years (gpd) (2 years for P.S.)	
	Average	Peak	Average	Peak	Average	Peak
Collection						
Conveyance	---	16,600,000	1,310,000	1,720,000	1,383,000	1,797,100
Treatment	---	250,000,000	194,200,000	250,000,000	248,800,000	295,000,000

3. Collection and Conveyance Facilities

The questions below are to be answered by the sewer authority, municipality, or agency responsible for completing the Chapter 94 report for the collection and conveyance facilities. These questions should be answered in coordination with the latest Chapter 94 annual report and the above table. The individual(s) signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

YES NO

- a. YES NO This project proposes sewer extensions or tap-ins. Will these actions create a hydraulic overload within five years on any existing collection or conveyance facilities that are part of the system?

If yes, this sewage facilities planning module will not be accepted for review by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until all inconsistencies with Chapter 94 are resolved or unless there is an approved Corrective Action Plan (CAP) granting an allocation for this project. A letter granting allocations to this project under the CAP must be attached to the module package.

If no, a representative of the sewer authority, municipality, or agency responsible for completing the Chapter 94 report for the collection and conveyance facilities must sign below to indicate that the collection and conveyance facilities have adequate capacity and are able to provide service to the proposed development in accordance with both §71.53(d)(3) and Chapter 94 requirements and that this proposal will not affect that status.

b. Collection System

Name of Agency, Authority, Municipality _____

Name of Responsible Agent _____

Agent Signature _____ Date _____

J. CHAPTER 94 CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION (See Section J of instructions)

c. Conveyance System

Name of Agency, Authority, Municipality ALCOSAN

Name of Responsible Agent Shawn P. McWilliams, EIT

Agent Signature *Shawn P. McWilliams*

Date 07/17/2023

4. Treatment Facility

The questions below are to be answered by a representative of the facility permittee in coordination with the information in the table and the latest Chapter 94 report. The individual signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

YES NO ***ALCOSAN IS UNDER A CONSENT DECREE TO ADDRESS WET WEATHER OVERFLOWS.**

- a. YES NO This project proposes the use of an existing wastewater treatment plant for the disposal of sewage. Will this action create a hydraulic or organic overload within 5 years at that facility?

If yes, this planning module for sewage facilities will not be reviewed by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until this inconsistency with Chapter 94 is resolved or unless there is an approved CAP granting an allocation for this project. A letter granting allocations to this project under the CAP must be attached to the planning module.

If no, the treatment facility permittee must sign below to indicate that this facility has adequate treatment capacity and is able to provide wastewater treatment services for the proposed development in accordance with both §71.53(d)(3) and Chapter 94 requirements and that this proposal will not impact that status.

b. Name of Agency, Authority, Municipality ALCOSAN

Name of Responsible Agent Shawn P. McWilliams, EIT

Agent Signature *Shawn P. McWilliams*

Date 07/17/2023

K. TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL OPTIONS (See Section K of instructions)

This section is for land development projects that propose construction of wastewater treatment facilities. Please note that, since these projects require permits issued by DEP, these projects may NOT receive final planning approval from a delegated local agency. Delegated local agencies must send these projects to DEP for final planning approval.

Check the appropriate box indicating the selected treatment and disposal option.

- 1. Spray irrigation (other than individual residential spray systems (IRSIS)) or other land application is proposed, and the information requested in Section K.1. of the planning module instructions are attached.
- 2. Recycle and reuse is proposed and the information requested in Section K-2 of the planning module instructions is attached.
- 3. A discharge to a dry stream channel is proposed, and the information requested in Section K.3. of the planning module instructions are attached.
- 4. A discharge to a perennial surface water body is proposed, and the information requested in Section K.4. of the planning module instructions are attached.

L. PERMEABILITY TESTING (See Section L of instructions)

- The information required in Section L of the instructions is attached.

M. PRELIMINARY HYDROGEOLOGIC STUDY (See Section M of instructions)

- The information required in Section M of the instructions is attached.

N. DETAILED HYDROGEOLOGIC STUDY (See Section N of instructions)

The detailed hydrogeologic information required in Section N. of the instructions is attached.

O. SEWAGE MANAGEMENT (See Section O of instructions)

(1-3 for completion by the developer(project sponser), 4-5 for completion by the non-municipal facility agent and 6 for completion by the municipality)

Yes No

1. Is connection to, or construction of, a DEP permitted, non-municipal sewage facility or a local agency permitted, community onlot sewage facility proposed.

If Yes, respond to the following questions, attach the supporting analysis, and an evaluation of the options available to assure long-term proper operation and maintenance of the proposed non-municipal facilities. If No, skip the remainder of Section O.

2. Project Flows _____ gpd

Yes No

3. Is the use of nutrient credits or offsets a part of this project?

If yes, attach a letter of intent to purchase the necessary credits and describe the assurance that these credits and offsets will be available for the remaining design life of the non-municipal sewage facility;

(For completion by non-municipal facility agent)

4. Collection and Conveyance Facilities

The questions below are to be answered by the organization/individual responsible for the non-municipal collection and conveyance facilities. The individual(s) signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

Yes No

- a. If this project proposes sewer extensions or tap-ins, will these actions create a hydraulic overload on any existing collection or conveyance facilities that are part of the system?

If yes, this sewage facilities planning module will not be accepted for review by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until this issue is resolved.

If no, a representative of the organization responsible for the collection and conveyance facilities must sign below to indicate that the collection and conveyance facilities have adequate capacity and are able to provide service to the proposed development in accordance with Chapter 71 §71.53(d)(3) and that this proposal will not affect that status.

- b. Collection System

Name of Responsible Organization _____

Name of Responsible Agent _____

Agent Signature _____

Date _____

- c. Conveyance System

Name of Responsible Organization _____

Name of Responsible Agent _____

Agent Signature _____

Date _____

5. Treatment Facility

The questions below are to be answered by a representative of the facility permittee. The individual signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

Yes No

- a. If this project proposes the use of an existing non-municipal wastewater treatment plant for the disposal of sewage, will this action create a hydraulic or organic overload at that facility?

If yes, this planning module for sewage facilities will not be reviewed by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until this issue is resolved.

If no, the treatment facility permittee must sign below to indicate that this facility has adequate treatment capacity and is able to provide wastewater treatment services for the proposed development in accordance with §71.53(d)(3) and that this proposal will not impact that status.

- b. Name of Facility _____
Name of Responsible Agent _____
Agent Signature _____
Date _____

(For completion by the municipality)

6. The **SELECTED OPTION** necessary to assure long-term proper operation and maintenance of the proposed non-municipal facilities is clearly identified with documentation attached in the planning module package.

P. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT (See Section P of instructions)

This section must be completed to determine if the applicant will be required to publish facts about the project in a newspaper of general circulation to provide a chance for the general public to comment on proposed new land development projects. This notice may be provided by the applicant or the applicant's agent, the municipality or the local agency by publication in a newspaper of general circulation within the municipality affected. Where an applicant or an applicant's agent provides the required notice for publication, the applicant or applicant's agent shall notify the municipality or local agency and the municipality and local agency will be relieved of the obligation to publish. The required content of the publication notice is found in Section P of the instructions.

To complete this section, each of the following questions must be answered with a "yes" or "no". Newspaper publication is required if any of the following are answered "yes".

Yes No

1. Does the project propose the construction of a sewage treatment facility ?
2. Will the project change the flow at an existing sewage treatment facility by more than 50,000 gallons per day?
3. Will the project result in a public expenditure for the sewage facilities portion of the project in excess of \$100,000?
4. Will the project lead to a major modification of the existing municipal administrative organizations within the municipal government?
5. Will the project require the establishment of *new* municipal administrative organizations within the municipal government?
6. Will the project result in a subdivision of 50 lots or more? (onlot sewage disposal only)
7. Does the project involve a major change in established growth projections?
8. Does the project involve a different land use pattern than that established in the municipality's Official Sewage Plan?

P. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT cont'd. (See Section P of instructions)

- 9. Does the project involve the use of large volume onlot sewage disposal systems (Flow > 10,000 gpd)?
- 10. Does the project require resolution of a conflict between the proposed alternative and consistency requirements contained in §71.21(a)(5)(i), (ii), (iii)?
- 11. Will sewage facilities discharge into high quality or exceptional value waters?
- Attached is a copy of:
 - the public notice,
 - all comments received as a result of the notice,
 - the municipal response to these comments.
- No comments were received. A copy of the public notice is attached.

Q. FALSE SWEARING STATEMENT (See Section Q of instructions)

I verify that the statements made in this component are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements in this component are made subject to the penalties of 18 PA C.S.A. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Sean M. Donnelly, P.E.

Name (Print)

Signature

Project Manager

05-22-2023

Title

Date

100 McMorris Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15205

(412) 921-4030

Address

Telephone Number

R. REVIEW FEE (See Section R of instructions)

The Sewage Facilities Act establishes a fee for the DEP planning module review. DEP will calculate the review fee for the project and invoice the project sponsor **OR** the project sponsor may attach a self-calculated fee payment to the planning module prior to submission of the planning package to DEP. (Since the fee and fee collection procedures may vary if a "delegated local agency" is conducting the review, the project sponsor should contact the "delegated local agency" to determine these details.) Check the appropriate box.

- I request DEP calculate the review fee for my project and send me an invoice for the correct amount. I understand DEP's review of my project will not begin until DEP receives the correct review fee from me for the project.
- I have calculated the review fee for my project using the formula found below and the review fee guidance in the instructions. I have attached a check or money order in the amount of \$7,450 payable to "Commonwealth of PA, DEP". Include DEP code number on check. I understand DEP will not begin review of my project unless it receives the fee and determines the fee is correct. If the fee is incorrect, DEP will return my check or money order, send me an invoice for the correct amount. I understand DEP review will NOT begin until I have submitted the correct fee.
- I request to be exempt from the DEP planning module review fee because this planning module creates **only** one new lot and is the **only** lot subdivided from a parcel of land as that land existed on December 14, 1995. I realize that subdivision of a second lot from this parcel of land shall disqualify me from this review fee exemption. I am furnishing the following deed reference information in support of my fee exemption.

County Recorder of Deeds for _____ County, Pennsylvania

Deed Volume _____ Book Number _____

Page Number _____ Date Recorded _____

R. REVIEW FEE (continued)

Formula:

1. For a new collection system (with or without a Clean Streams Law Permit), a collection system extension, or individual tap-ins to an existing collection system use this formula.

$$\#149 \quad \text{Lots (or EDUs)} \times \$50.00 = \$7,450$$

The fee is based upon:

- The number of lots created or number of EDUs whichever is higher.
 - For community sewer system projects, one EDU is equal to a sewage flow of 400 gallons per day.
2. For a surface or subsurface discharge system, use the appropriate one of these formulae.

- A. A new surface discharge greater than 2000 gpd will use a flat fee:

\$ 1,500 per submittal (non-municipal)
\$ 500 per submittal (municipal)

- B. An increase in an existing surface discharge will use:

$$\# \quad \text{Lots (or EDUs)} \times \$35.00 = \$$$

to a maximum of \$ 1,500 per submittal (non-municipal) or \$ 500 per submittal (municipal)

The fee is based upon:

- The number of lots created or number of EDUs whichever is higher.
 - For community sewage system projects one EDU is equal to a sewage flow of 400 gallons per day.
 - For non-single family residential projects, EDUs are calculated using projected population figures
- C. A sub-surface discharge system that requires a permit under The Clean Streams Law will use a flat fee:
- \$ 1,500 per submittal (non-municipal)
\$ 500 per submittal (municipal)



July 17, 2023

Members of the Board

Sylvia C. Wilson
Chair Person

Shannah Tharp-Gilliam, Ph.D.

Harry Readshaw

Emily Kinkead

Paul Klein

Theresa Kail-Smith

Darrin Kelly

Arletta Scott Williams
Executive Director

Douglas A. Jackson, P.E.
*Director
Operations & Maintenance*

Michelle M. Buys, P.E.
*Director
Environmental Compliance*

Kimberly N. Kennedy, P.E.
*Director
Engineering & Construction*

Karen Fantoni, CPA, CGMA
*Director
Finance*

Michael Lichte, P.E.
*Director
Regional Conveyance*

Jeanne K. Clark
*Director
Governmental Affairs*

Julie Motley-Williams
*Director
Administration*

Mr. Sean Donnelly, PE
Gateway Engineers
100 McMorris Road
Pittsburgh, PA 15205

**Re: 305 Wood Street
City of Pittsburgh – 1st Ward
PA DEP Sewage Facilities Planning Module
ALCOSAN Point of Connection M-03**

Dear Mr. Donnelly:

We have reviewed the Component 3 Planning Module for the referenced project to be located in City of Pittsburgh, 1st Ward. The project will generate peak flows of 59,284 gpd in the ALCOSAN Monongahela River Interceptor and Woods Run Treatment Plant.

The capacity at the ALCOSAN M-03 structure is approximately 16.6 MGD. The previously monitored peak dry weather flows are 1.72 MGD. Dry weather capacity exists for this connection. However, the ALCOSAN Monongahela River Interceptor and the Woods Run Treatment Plant do not have the capacity for the flows generated by tributary communities during wet weather periods. This limitation will be addressed as ALCOSAN implements its Clean Water Plan.

ALCOSAN requests that this letter be made part of the planning module submission. The signed Component 3 Planning Module is attached. The sewers in this project are to be designed as separated sanitary and storm sewers. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at 412-732-8053.

Sincerely,

ALLEGHENY COUNTY SANITARY AUTHORITY

Shawn P. McWilliams, EIT
Project Engineer

Attachment

cc: Christina Dean (w/o attachment) Robert Herring/ PWSA (w/o attachment)
Dan Thornton (w/o attachment) Mahbuba Iasmin/ PADEP (w/o attachment)
Michael Lichte (w/o attachment) Gina Caligiri/ ACHD (w/o attachment)

EXHIBIT B.

Project Narrative

Project Narrative

City Club Apartments

305 Wood Street, 1st Ward, City of Pittsburgh

The proposed 305 Wood Street project, owned by City Club Apartments, involves the renovation of the existing four-story YWCA building and the addition of a 20-story apartment building. This building is intended for resident use only. The project will disturb less than one (1) acre.

For the purpose of sewage flow/water consumption calculations, floor plans provided by Indovina Associates Architects were used. The proposed floor plans and peak flows provided in Table 2-1 of PWSA's Developers Manual were used to determine the proposed peak sewage flow/water consumption. The calculated proposed peak flow will total 59,284 GPD, or 149 EDUs. Refer to the enclosed calculation sheet for details regarding the breakdown of the peak sewage flow/water consumption estimates.

The proposed storm and sanitary connections will be separated on site and will wye together 5' from the PWSA-owned sewer. The proposed sanitary and storm laterals will tie into a proposed PWSA 18" combination gravity sewer along Third Avenue. The sewer connects into the Monongahela River Interceptor (M-03) Sewer and then to ALCOSAN's treatment plant where it receives final treatment.

Flow Calculation Summary

Present Flows – Flow Monitoring

One month of flow monitoring was completed by Drnach Environmental at MH001H150 to determine the existing average and peak flows present in the sewer of interest. Flow monitoring began on April 5th, 2023 and ended on May 4th, 2023. **Analysis of the received data reports an existing average flow of 0.003 MGD and an instantaneous peak of 0.181 MGD.**

Design Capacity

The existing sewer's average and peak hydraulic capacity were calculated by determining the existing slope of the sewer of interest using Gateway's survey data between the monitored manhole (MH001H150) and the next manhole (MH001H136), as well as the horizontal distance between the identified manhole lid locations. The sewer was analyzed under full flow conditions, and a peaking factor of 3.5 (combined sewer) was applied to obtain the peak hydraulic capacity per the PWSA Developer's Manual. **The average hydraulic capacity was calculated to be 1.993 MGD and the peak hydraulic capacity was calculated to be 6.974 MGD.**


5-Year Projected Flow

Using the equations in the PWSA Developer's Manual, the project flow, 59,284 GPD, was added to the present average and peak flows to determine the projected flow in five (5) years. **The projected average flow is estimated to be 0.072 MGD and the projected peak flow is estimated to be 0.253 MGD.** Since the 5-year flows are less than the calculated design capacity of the sewer, the additional flow due to the construction of the 305 Wood Street project will not overload the sewer.

Sewer Mapping

City Club Apartments – 305 Wood Street


1st Ward, City of Pittsburgh, PA 15222



Source: 3RWW Sewer Atlas

EXHIBIT C.

USGS Site Location Map



SITE LOCATION MAP
USGS EAST & WEST PITTSBURGH QUAD
SCALE: 1" = 1000'



THE GATEWAY ENGINEERS, INC. RETAINS OWNERSHIP RIGHTS OF ALL DATA WITHIN THE PLANS. DATA CONTAINED WITHIN IS ONLY TO BE USED FOR ITS INTENDED PURPOSE BY ITS RESPONSIBLE PARTY. THE USE, REPLICATION, REPRODUCTION, OR REDISTRIBUTION OF DATA CONTAINED WITHIN IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED AND SUBJECT TO LEGAL ACTION.

USGS SITE LOCATION MAP

305 WOOD STREET
 305 WOOD STREET
 PITTSBURGH, PA 15222

PREPARED FOR:
CITY CLUB APARTMENTS
 31700 MIDDLEBELT ROAD, SUITE 140
 FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334-2302

Date	No	REVISION RECORD
-	01	-
-	02	-
-	03	-
-	04	-
-	05	-
-	06	-
-	07	-
-	08	-

Project Number: 41080-0004
 Drawing Scale: 1" = 1000'
 Date Issued: JUNE 2023
 Index Number: _____
 Drawn By: GRS
 Checked By: SMD
 Project Manager: SMD
USGS



WWW.GATEWAYENGINEERS.COM | PITTSBURGH, PA | 412.233.4204

© Gateway Engineers Inc. All Rights Reserved

EXHIBIT D.

Sewage Flow Calculations

Anticipated Sewage Flow Calculations

305 Wood Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Existing and Proposed Conditions

The proposed project scope is to build 21 stories of apartments and associated amenities as an adaptation to the existing 4-story building at 305 Wood Street in downtown Pittsburgh, formerly owned by YWCA. The existing levels are mostly vacant, with YWCA occupying some of the fourth floor and Point Park University a portion of the first.

Peak Existing Flow

Water Use and Sanitary Sewage Flow Estimate

Water Use and Sanitary Flows from Table 1 of Section 3 within the PWSA Developer's Manual¹:

Offices = 10 GPD/employee

Swimming pools = 10 GPD/occupant

Restaurants = 10 GPD/occupant

Maximum Floor Area Allowances per occupant²:

Business = 100 SF/occupant

Swimming pool = 50 SF/occupant

Locker room = 50 SF/patron

Sub-level -3

Locker room = 146 SF

Level -3 Peak Existing Flow

$$[(146 \text{ SF}) / (50 \text{ SF/user}^{(3)})] \times [(10 \text{ GPD/user}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})] = \underline{90 \text{ GPD}}$$

Sub-level -2

Swimming pool = 2,436 SF

Locker room = 2,798 SF

Level -2 Peak Existing Flow

$$[(2,436 \text{ SF}) / (50 \text{ SF/user}^{(3)})] \times [(10 \text{ GPD/user}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})] \\ + [(2,798 \text{ SF}) / (50 \text{ SF/user}^{(3)})] \times [(10 \text{ GPD/user}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})] = \underline{3,140 \text{ GPD}}$$

Level 1

Office = 1,295 SF

$$\text{Total Number of Occupants} = (1,295 \text{ SF}) / (100 \text{ SF/occupant}) = 13$$

Restaurant = 4,416 SF

$$\text{Total Number of Patrons} = (4,416 \text{ SF}) / (15 \text{ SF/patron}) = 295$$

Level 1 Peak Existing Flow

$$[13 \text{ occupants} \times (10 \text{ GPD/occupant})] + [295 \text{ patrons} \times (12 \text{ GPD/patron}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})] = \\ \underline{10,750 \text{ GPD}}$$

Total Peak Existing Flow

Sum of Existing Sewage Flows = (90 + 3,140 + 10,750) GPD = 13,980 GPD

Peak Proposed Flow

Water Use and Sanitary Sewage Flow Estimate

Water Use and Sanitary Flows from Table 1 of Section 3 within the PWSA Developer's Manual¹:

Studio = 150 GPD/unit

One-bedroom = 150 GPD/unit

Two-bedroom = 300 GPD/unit

Three-bedroom = 400 GPD/unit

Sub-level -3

Locker room = 146 SF

Level -3 Peak Proposed Flow

$[(146 \text{ SF}) / (50 \text{ SF/user}^{(3)})] \times [(10 \text{ GPD/user}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})] = \underline{90 \text{ GPD}}$

Sub-level -2

Swimming pool = 2,436 SF

Locker room = 2,798 SF

Level -2 Peak Proposed Flow

$[(2,436 \text{ SF}) / (50 \text{ SF/user}^{(3)})] \times (10 \text{ GPD/user}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})$
 $+ [(2,798 \text{ SF}) / (50 \text{ SF/user}^{(3)})] \times [(10 \text{ GPD/user}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})] = \underline{3,140 \text{ GPD}}$

Level 1

Office space = 1,362 SF

Total Number of Occupants = (1,362 SF) / 100 SF per occupant = 14

Restaurant = 3,919 SF

Total Number of Patrons = (3,919 SF) / (15 SF/patron) = 262

Level 1 Peak Proposed Flow

$[14 \text{ occupants} \times (10 \text{ GPD/occupant})] + [262 \text{ patrons} \times (12 \text{ GPD/patron}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})] =$
9,572 GPD

Level 2

Restaurant = 2,244 SF

Total Number of Patrons = (2,244 SF) / (15 SF/patron) = 150

Apartment, Studio = 1 unit
Apartment, One-Bedroom = 4 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 2 units

Level 2 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[150 \text{ patrons} \times (12 \text{ GPD/patron}) \times (3 \text{ turnovers})] + [(1 \text{ studio unit}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(4 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(2 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{6,750 \text{ GPD}}$$

Level 3

Apartment, Studio = 4 units
Apartment, One-Bedroom = 6 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 2 units
Apartment, Three-Bedroom = 2 units

Level 3 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[(4 \text{ studio units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(6 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(2 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(2 \text{ three-bedroom units}) \times (400 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{2,900 \text{ GPD}}$$

Level 4

Apartment, Studio = 4 units
Apartment, One-Bedroom = 8 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 1 unit
Apartment, Three-Bedroom = 2 units

Level 4 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[(4 \text{ studio units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(8 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(1 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(2 \text{ three-bedroom units}) \times (400 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{2,900 \text{ GPD}}$$

Level 5

Apartment, One-Bedroom = 3 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 3 units
Apartment, Three-Bedroom = 2 units

Level 5 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[(4 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(3 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(2 \text{ three-bedroom units}) \times (400 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{2,300 \text{ GPD}}$$

Levels 6-17

Apartment, Studio = 72 units
Apartment, One-Bedroom = 72 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 24 units

Levels 6-17 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[(72 \text{ studio units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(72 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(24 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{28,800 \text{ GPD}}$$

Level 18

Apartment, Studio = 6 units
Apartment, One-Bedroom = 4 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 2 units

Level 18 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[(6 \text{ studio units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(4 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(2 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{2,100 \text{ GPD}}$$

Level 19

Apartment, Studio = 6 units
Apartment, One-Bedroom = 5 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 3 units

Level 19 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[(6 \text{ studio units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(5 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(3 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{2,550 \text{ GPD}}$$

Levels 20-21

Apartment, Studio = 12 units
Apartment, One-Bedroom = 12 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 4 units

Levels 20-21 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[(12 \text{ studio units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(12 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(4 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{4,800 \text{ GPD}}$$

Levels 22-24

Apartment, One-Bedroom = 9 units
Apartment, Two-Bedroom = 12 units
Apartment, Three-Bedroom = 3 units

Levels 22-24 Peak Proposed Flow

$$[(9 \text{ one-bedroom units}) \times (150 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(12 \text{ two-bedroom units}) \times (300 \text{ GPD/unit})] + [(3 \text{ three-bedroom units}) \times (400 \text{ GPD/unit})] = \underline{6,150 \text{ GPD}}$$

Proposed Floor 25:

Skyclub (restaurant) = 2,053 SF
Total Number of Patrons = (2,053 SF) / (100 SF/patron) = 21
Swimming pool = 760 SF

Level 25 Peak Proposed Flow

[21 occupants x (12 GPD/occupant) x (3 turnovers)] +
[(760 SF) / (50 SF/user⁽³⁾) x (10 GPD/user) x (3 turnovers)] =
1,212 GPD

Total Peak Proposed Flow

Sum of Proposed Sewage Flows = (90 + 3,140 + 9,572 + 6,600 + 2,900 +
2,900 + 2,450 + 28,800 + 2,100 + 2,550 + 4,800 + 6,150 + 1,212) GPD =
73,264 GPD

Net Flow

Net Sewage Flow = Peak Proposed Flow – Peak Existing Flow
Net Sewage Flow = 73,264 GPD – 13,980 GPD
Net Sewage Flow = 59,284 GPD (149 EDUs)

Net Sewage Flow = Net Water Use ∴
Net Water Usage = 59,284 GPD (149 EDUs)

Sources:

¹ Table 1, Section 3, PWSA Developer's Manual

² Table 1004.1.2 Maximum Floor Area Allowances per Occupant, 2015 International Building Code (IBC) and Commentary Vol. 1

³ Table 1004.5 of the 2018 IBC, Maximum Floor Area Allowances Per Occupant:
Swimming pool = 50 gross SF per person

EXHIBIT E.

Hydraulic Capacity Calculations (Signed and Sealed)

305 Wood St - MH001H150		
Sewage Capacity Calculations		
Pipe Diameter (per Flow Monitoring Report) =	18	in
Upstream Invert Elevation (per Gateway Survey) =	725.34	
Downstream Invert Elevation (per Gateway Survey) =	722.06	
Pipe Length (per Gateway Survey) =	205	ft
Slope =	1.60	%
Mannings n =	0.016	(Brick)
Design Capacity		
Sewer Type (Combined/Separate)	Combined	
Peaking Factor	3.5	
Peak Hydraulic Capacity (Using Mannings Equation full-flow)	10.79	cfs
	6,973,760	GPD
Average Hydraulic Capacity (Peak Capacity divided by Peaking Factor)	1,992,503	GPD
Present Flows - MH001H150		
Present Average Flows (Flow data, refer to "Data Analysis" spreadsheet)	0.003	MGD
	3,000	GPD
Present Peak Flows (Flow data, refer to "Data Analysis" spreadsheet)	0.181	MGD
	181,000	GPD
Project Flow		
Project Flow	59,284	GPD
Projected Flows		
Projected Peak [(Present Peak Flow + Project Flow) x 1.05]	252,298	GPD*
Projected Average (Projected Peak Flow divided by Peaking Factor)	72,085	GPD*

*Projected Flows are less than the Design Capacity

Input
 Output



Channel Report

Sewer Design Capacity - MH001H150

Circular

Diameter (ft) = 1.50

Invert Elev (ft) = 725.34

Slope (%) = 1.60

N-Value = 0.016

Highlighted

Depth (ft) = 1.50

Q (cfs) = 10.79

Area (sqft) = 1.77

Velocity (ft/s) = 6.11

Wetted Perim (ft) = 4.71

Crit Depth, Yc (ft) = 1.26

Top Width (ft) = 0.00

EGL (ft) = 2.08

Calculations

Compute by: Known Depth

Known Depth (ft) = 1.50 ← FULL FLOW




EXHIBIT F.

Alternatives Analysis

Alternative Analysis

City Club Apartments – 305 Wood Street
305 Wood Street, 1st Ward, City of Pittsburgh, PA 15222

The proposed short-term and long-term ultimate method of sanitary sewage conveyance and treatment from the City Club Apartments project involves the renovation of the existing four-story YWCA building, the addition of a 20-story apartment building, the use of adequately designed storm and sanitary sewer laterals, owned and maintained by the property owner, connecting into the PWSA 18” combination sewer system located to the southwest of the existing building running down Third Avenue. This public sewer connects into the ALCOSAN Monongahela River Interceptor and sewage flows via gravity through the interceptor sewer to the ALCOSAN Treatment Plant at Woods Run.

The project site is located within the Golden Triangle District A (GT-A) zoning district and is surrounded by apartment buildings, businesses, and other commercial use buildings. Adjacent properties utilize the existing public sewer system as their ultimate sewage disposal conveyance system and the ALCOSAN Treatment Plant as their ultimate sewage treatment method. Additionally, 305 Wood Street in its existing conditions ties into the existing public sewer system and uses the ALCOSAN Treatment Plant as its ultimate sewage treatment method.

There are no feasible sewage disposal alternatives because access to the public sewer system is available. If public sewers were not available, sewage could be temporarily stored using on-site holding tanks and trucked to an off-site facility; however, this alternative is not permissible as a long-term disposal solution according to 25 Pa. Code §71.63(c) because the proposed flow exceeds 800 GPD.

EXHIBIT G.

Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Receipt

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name: **305 Wood Street**

Date of Review: **5/26/2023 05:57:55 PM**

Project Category: **Development, Additions/maintenance to existing development facilities**

Project Area: **0.97 acres**

County(s): **Allegheny**

Township/Municipality(s): **PITTSBURGH**

ZIP Code:

Quadrangle Name(s): **PITTSBURGH WEST**

Watersheds HUC 8: **Lower Monongahela**

Watersheds HUC 12: **Streets Run-Monongahela River**

Decimal Degrees: **40.439265, -80.001848**


Degrees Minutes Seconds: **40° 26' 21.3522" N, 80° 0' 6.6514" W**



2. SEARCH RESULTS

Agency	Results	Response
PA Game Commission	Potential Impact	FURTHER REVIEW IS REQUIRED, See Agency Response
PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required
PA Fish and Boat Commission	Conservation Measure	No Further Review Required, See Agency Comments
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required

As summarized above, Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) records indicate there may be potential impacts to threatened and endangered and/or special concern species and resources within the project area. If the response above indicates "No Further Review Required" no additional communication with the respective agency is required. If the response is "Further Review Required" or "See Agency Response," refer to the appropriate agency comments below. Please see the DEP Information Section of this receipt if a PA Department of Environmental Protection Permit is required.

305 Wood Street





-  Buffered Project Boundary
-  Project Boundary



Sources: Esri, Airbus DS, USGS, NGA, NASA, CGIAR, N Robinson, NCEAS, NLS, OS, NMA, Geodatastyrelsen, Rijkswaterstaat, GSA, Geoland, FEMA, Intermap and the GIS user community

305 Wood Street



-  Buffered Project Boundary
-  Project Boundary



Sources: Esri, Airbus DS, USGS, NGA, NASA, CGIAR, N Robinson, NCEAS, NLS, OS, NMA, Geodatastyrelsen, Rijkswaterstaat, GSA, Geoland, FEMA, Intermap and the GIS user community

3. AGENCY COMMENTS

Regardless of whether a DEP permit is necessary for this proposed project, any potential impacts to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources must be resolved with the appropriate jurisdictional agency. In some cases, a permit or authorization from the jurisdictional agency may be needed if adverse impacts to these species and habitats cannot be avoided.

These agency determinations and responses are **valid for two years** (from the date of the review), and are based on the project information that was provided, including the exact project location; the project type, description, and features; and any responses to questions that were generated during this search. If any of the following change: 1) project location, 2) project size or configuration, 3) project type, or 4) responses to the questions that were asked during the online review, the results of this review are not valid, and the review must be searched again via the PNDI Environmental Review Tool and resubmitted to the jurisdictional agencies. The PNDI tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer impacts than what is listed on this PNDI receipt. The jurisdictional agencies **strongly advise against** conducting surveys for the species listed on the receipt prior to consultation with the agencies.

PA Game Commission

RESPONSE:

Further review of this project is necessary to resolve the potential impact(s). Please send project information to this agency for review (see WHAT TO SEND).

PGC Species: (Note: The Pennsylvania Conservation Explorer tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer species than what is listed below.)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Current Status
Falco peregrinus	Peregrine Falcon	Special Concern Species*

PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

RESPONSE:

No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

PA Fish and Boat Commission

RESPONSE:

Conservation Measure: The natural flow regime and water quality in this watershed are important to maintaining habitats occupied by rare fish and mussels. PFBC recommends that you take measures to maintain a natural flow regime, high water quality, and quantity. Maintenance or restoration of the riparian corridor will aid in connecting habitats and improving water quality and quantity for fish and mussels. PFBC recommends retaining (or restoring, if not already present) a riparian buffer (100 to 300 feet, if possible) on each side of the waterway (river, stream, creek). This buffer should be vegetated with native plant species. When adequately vegetated, this upland buffer will act to stabilize the streambanks (preventing or minimizing erosion), and filter pollutants (e.g., sediment, fertilizers, pesticides, road salt, oil). Where streambanks have become badly eroded (e.g., due to previous removal of native riparian vegetation), streambank fencing and/or bioengineering restoration techniques are recommended (geotextile, root wads, vegetative stabilization), rather than riprapping the streambanks; removing gravel bars; or attempting to dredge, ditch, channelize, or widen the stream. Use stringent erosion and sedimentation controls before, during, and after project implementation to ensure that sediment and contaminants do not enter any waterway(s) (rivers, creeks, streams, tributaries) or waterbodies (lakes, ponds).

PFBC Species: (Note: The Pennsylvania Conservation Explorer tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer species than what is listed below.)

Scientific Name	Common Name	Current Status
Leptodea fragilis	Fragile Papershell	Special Concern Species*
Quadrula quadrula	Mapleleaf	Special Concern Species*

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

RESPONSE:

No impacts to **federally** listed or proposed species are anticipated. Therefore, no further consultation/coordination under the Endangered Species Act (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq. is required. Because no take of federally listed species is anticipated, none is authorized. This response does not reflect potential Fish and Wildlife Service concerns under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act or other authorities.

* Special Concern Species or Resource - Plant or animal species classified as rare, tentatively undetermined or candidate as well as other taxa of conservation concern, significant natural communities, special concern populations (plants or animals) and unique geologic features.

** Sensitive Species - Species identified by the jurisdictional agency as collectible, having economic value, or being susceptible to decline as a result of visitation.

WHAT TO SEND TO JURISDICTIONAL AGENCIES

If project information was requested by one or more of the agencies above, upload* or email the following information to the agency(s) (see AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION). Instructions for uploading project materials can be found [here](#). This option provides the applicant with the convenience of sending project materials to a single location accessible to all three state agencies (but not USFWS).

*If information was requested by USFWS, applicants must email, or mail, project information to IR1_ESPenn@fws.gov to initiate a review. USFWS will not accept uploaded project materials.

Check-list of Minimum Materials to be submitted:

___ Project narrative with a description of the overall project, the work to be performed, current physical characteristics of the site and acreage to be impacted.

___ A map with the project boundary and/or a basic site plan (particularly showing the relationship of the project to the physical features such as wetlands, streams, ponds, rock outcrops, etc.)

In addition to the materials listed above, USFWS REQUIRES the following

___ **SIGNED** copy of a Final Project Environmental Review Receipt

The inclusion of the following information may expedite the review process.

___ Color photos keyed to the basic site plan (i.e. showing on the site plan where and in what direction each photo was taken and the date of the photos)

___ Information about the presence and location of wetlands in the project area, and how this was determined (e.g., by a qualified wetlands biologist), if wetlands are present in the project area, provide project plans showing the location of all project features, as well as wetlands and streams.

4. DEP INFORMATION

The Pa Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) requires that a signed copy of this receipt, along with any required documentation from jurisdictional agencies concerning resolution of potential impacts, be submitted with applications for permits requiring PNDI review. Two review options are available to permit applicants for handling PNDI coordination in conjunction with DEP's permit review process involving either T&E Species or species of special concern. Under sequential review, the permit applicant performs a PNDI screening and completes all coordination with the appropriate jurisdictional agencies prior to submitting the permit application. The applicant will include with its application, both a PNDI receipt and/or a clearance letter from the jurisdictional agency if the PNDI Receipt shows a Potential Impact to a species or the applicant chooses to obtain letters directly from the jurisdictional agencies. Under concurrent review, DEP, where feasible, will allow technical review of the permit to occur concurrently with the T&E species consultation with the jurisdictional agency. The applicant must still supply a copy of the PNDI Receipt with its permit application. The PNDI Receipt should also be submitted to the appropriate agency according to directions on the PNDI Receipt. The applicant and the jurisdictional agency will work together to resolve the potential impact(s). See the DEP PNDI policy at <https://conservationexplorer.dcnr.pa.gov/content/resources>.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The PNDI environmental review website is a preliminary screening tool. There are often delays in updating species status classifications. Because the proposed status represents the best available information regarding the conservation status of the species, state jurisdictional agency staff give the proposed statuses at least the same consideration as the current legal status. If surveys or further information reveal that a threatened and endangered and/or special concern species and resources exist in your project area, contact the appropriate jurisdictional agency/agencies immediately to identify and resolve any impacts.

For a list of species known to occur in the county where your project is located, please see the species lists by county found on the PA Natural Heritage Program (PNHP) home page (www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us). Also note that the PNDI Environmental Review Tool only contains information about species occurrences that have actually been reported to the PNHP.

6. AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

Bureau of Forestry, Ecological Services Section
400 Market Street, PO Box 8552
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8552
Email: RA-HeritageReview@pa.gov

PA Fish and Boat Commission

Division of Environmental Services
595 E. Rolling Ridge Dr., Bellefonte, PA 16823
Email: RA-FBPACENOTIFY@pa.gov

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Pennsylvania Field Office
Endangered Species Section
110 Radnor Rd; Suite 101
State College, PA 16801
Email: IR1_ESPenn@fws.gov
NO Faxes Please

PA Game Commission


Bureau of Wildlife Management
Division of Environmental Review
2001 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17110-9797
Email: RA-PGC_PNDI@pa.gov
NO Faxes Please

7. PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION

Name: Quincy R. Sterner
Company/Business Name: The Gateway Engineers
Address: 100 McMorris Road
City, State, Zip: Pittsburgh, PA 15205
Phone: (412) 409-2316 Fax: (_____) _____
Email: qsterner@gatewayengineers.com

8. CERTIFICATION

I certify that ALL of the project information contained in this receipt (including project location, project size/configuration, project type, answers to questions) is true, accurate and complete. In addition, if the project type, location, size or configuration changes, or if the answers to any questions that were asked during this online review change, I agree to re-do the online environmental review.




applicant/project proponent signature

2023-06-28


date

EXHIBIT H.

Plot Plan



SITE LOCATION MAP - PITTSBURGH EAST & WEST QUADRANGLES
SCALE: 1" = 200'



THE PITTSBURGH WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY APPROVAL BLOCK
 To be completed by the Applicant and provided on each sheet within the plan set:

(Check all that apply)

NEW WATER CONNECTION(S)
 NEW SEWER CONNECTION(S)
 REUSE EXISTING WATER CONNECTION(S)
 REUSE EXISTING SEWER CONNECTION(S)
 TERMINATE EXISTING WATER CONNECTION(S)
 TERMINATE EXISTING SEWER CONNECTION(S)
 PRIVATE CONSTRUCTION OF PUBLIC FACILITIES

To be completed by the PWSA:
 (Required for ALL approvals)

REVIEWER, DEPT. OF ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION

SENIOR PROJECT MANAGER, DEPT. OF ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION

DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS


(Required for "Private Construction of Public Facilities" ONLY)

DIRECTOR, DEPT. OF ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION

PWSA PROJECT NUMBER _____

TAP C RECORD NUMBER _____

The PWSA approval was based on information provided by others under the assumption that this information was accurate and complete. Please refer to the PWSA Developer's Manual for detailed information on the regulations and procedures for obtaining water and sewer service.



**305 WOOD STREET
 CLUB CITY APARTMENTS, LLC**

PLAN PREPARER: THE GATEWAY ENGINEERS, INC. (CONTACT: SEAN DONNELLY)
 412-921-4030 SDONNELLY@GATEWAYENGINEERS.COM

**WATER & SEWER TAP CONNECTION AND
 TAP TERMINATION PLANS**

305 WOOD STREET
 1ST WARD, CITY OF PITTSBURGH, PA 15222

SCALE: 1" = 10' SHEET NO. 1 OF 3 ACCESSION NO. _____
 DATE: APRIL 2023 CASE NO. _____

SPRINKLER SYSTEM DESIGN INFORMATION
 To be completed by the Applicant and provided once within the plan set, if applicable:

ADDRESS(ES): 305 WOOD STREET
 DESIGNED BY: C.J.L. ENGINEERING

TYPE OF SYSTEM (Check one)
 13D
 13
 13R
 OTHER:

SYSTEM CONFIGURATION (Check one)
 STAND-ALONE SPRINKLER SYSTEM
 MULTI-PURPOSE SPRINKLER SYSTEM

HOSE DEMANDS (N/A for 13D systems)
 INSIDE HOSE DEMAND, GPM _____ 0
 OUTSIDE HOSE DEMAND, GPM _____ 250

FIRE PUMP (Check one)
 NO, NOT NEEDED
 YES, LOWEST PERMISSIBLE
 SUCTION PRESSURE = 80 PSI

HYDRANT FLOW TEST RESULTS
 To be completed by the Applicant and provided once within the plan set, if applicable:

DATE OF TEST 01/24/2023
 HYDRANT PERMIT NUMBER HYD-343-0123
 PERFORMED BY J & J FIRE PROTECTION

PRESSURE HYDRANT
 HYDRANT NUMBER A982
 LOCATION WOOD STREET
 STATIC PRESSURE, PSI 96
 RESIDUAL PRESSURE, PSI 94

FLOW HYDRANT
 HYDRANT NUMBER A984
 LOCATION WOOD STREET
 FLOW OBSERVED, GPM 1529

CALCULATIONS
 PROJECTED FLOW AT 20 PSI, GPM 10923.1

PEAK OPERATING WATER DEMANDS
 To be completed by the Applicant and provided once within the plan set, if applicable:

METER INFORMATION		DOMESTIC SYSTEM		FIRE SYSTEM			
QUANTITY	SIZE	TYPE	USE	FLOW, GPM	PRESSURE, PSI	FLOW, GPM	PRESSURE, PSI
1	8" x 2"	POSITIVE DISPLACEMENT	FIRE	N/A	N/A	1,000	95
1	3"	COMPOUND	DOMESTIC	450	80	N/A	N/A


METER SIZE: 1/2", 3/4", 1", 1 1/2", 2", 3", 4", 6", 8", 10", 12", 16"
 METER TYPE: POSITIVE DISPLACEMENT, COMPOUND, ELECTROMAGNETIC, ULTRASONIC, TURBINE
 METER USE: DOMESTIC, FIRE, COMBINATION

PEAK DAILY SANITARY FLOW DEMANDS
 To be completed by the Applicant and provided once within the plan set, if applicable:

PROJECT FLOW, GPD	73,284 GPD
EXISTING FLOW, GPD	13,980 GPD
NET FLOW, GPD	59,284 GPD

LEGEND:

(TS)	- TERMINATION SEWER LATERAL (REFER TO DETAIL SLT-1)
(TW)	- TYPICAL WATER TAP SERVICE TERMINATION FOR 2" AND SMALLER CONNECTION (DETAIL WS-ST5)
(●)	- PROPOSED GATE VALVE (DETAIL WV8)
(— SAN —)	- PROPOSED SANITARY LATERAL
(— WL —)	- PROPOSED WATER LATERAL
(— SL —)	- PROPOSED STORM LINE



ENGINEER



EXHIBIT I.

Water and Sewer Availability Letters



08/18/2023

Joseph E. Chirumbolo
The Gateway Engineers Inc.
100 McMorris Rd, Pittsburgh PA 15205-9445

RE: Water and Sewer Availability
305 Wood St, Pittsburgh PA 15222-1928

Dear Joseph E. Chirumbolo

In response to your inquiry concerning water and sewer availability for the area referenced above, please be advised that water and sewer service will be provided in accordance with the policies and procedures of the Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority as described below:

Water service available: Yes

Sewer service available: Yes

12" Wood Street

16" 4th Avenue

12" 3rd Avenue

18" 3rd Avenue

36" 4th Avenue

We wish to advise you that, if it is your desire to tap our water and sewer mains for service, your plans must be approved through a development permit application in accordance with the PWSA Developer's Manual.

Please note that the Authority in no way guarantees that the available lines have the capacity or pressure adequate for your project's needs. It is the responsibility of the project developer, design consultant, and/or architects to determine, at their expense, the adequacy of the existing water system to fulfill their needs.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (412) 255-8800 x 8030. Thank you.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads 'Wendy M. Dean'.

Wendy M. Dean
Engineering Tech II

EXHIBIT J.

Sewage Facilities Planning Module Component 4A



INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING COMPONENT 4A MUNICIPAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW

Remove and recycle these instructions prior to mailing component to the approving agency.

Background

This component, Component 4, is used to obtain the comments of planning agencies and/or health departments having jurisdiction over the project area. It is used in conjunction with other planning module components appropriate to the characteristics of the project proposed.

Who Should Complete the Component?

The component should be completed by any existing municipal planning agency, county planning agency, planning agency with areawide jurisdiction, and/or health department having jurisdiction over the project site. It is divided into sections to allow for convenient use by the appropriate agencies.

The project sponsor must forward copies of this component, along with supporting components and data, to the appropriate planning agency(ies) and health department(s) (if any) having jurisdiction over the development site. These agencies are responsible for responding to the questions in their respective sections of Component 4, as well as providing whatever additional comments they may wish to provide on the project plan. After the agencies have completed their review, the component will be returned to the applicant. The agencies have 60 days in which to provide comments to the applicant. If the agencies fail to comment within this 60 day period, the applicant may proceed to the next stage of the review without the comments. The use of registered mail or certified mail (return receipt requested) by the applicant when forwarding the module package to the agencies will document a date of receipt.

After receipt of the completed Component 4 from the planning agencies, or following expiration of the 60 day period without comments, the applicant must submit the entire component package to the municipality having jurisdiction over the project area for review and action. If approved by the municipality, the proposed plan, along with the municipal action, will be forwarded to the approving agency (Department of Environmental Protection or delegated local agency). The approving agency, in turn, will either approve the proposed plan, return it as incomplete, or disapprove the plan, based upon the information provided.

Instructions for Completing Planning Agency and/or Health Department Review Component

Section A. Project Name

Enter the project name as it appears on the accompanying sewage facilities planning module component (Component 2, 2m, 3, 3s or 3m).

Section B. Review Schedule

Enter the date the package was received by the reviewing agency, and the date that the review was completed.

Section C. Agency Review

1. Answer the yes/no questions and provide any descriptive information necessary on the lines provided. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.
2. Complete the name, title, and signature block.

Section D. Additional Comments

The Agency may provide whatever additional comment(s) it deems necessary, as described in the form. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.



DEP Code #:

**SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE
COMPONENT 4A - MUNICIPAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW**

Note to Project Sponsor: To expedite the review of your proposal, one copy of your completed planning module package and one copy of this *Planning Agency Review Component* should be sent to the local municipal planning agency for their comments.

SECTION A. PROJECT NAME (See Section A of instructions)

Project Name
305 Wood Street

SECTION B. REVIEW SCHEDULE (See Section B of instructions)

1. Date plan received by municipal planning agency 8/21/2023
2. Date review completed by agency 8/21/2023

SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (See Section C of instructions)

Yes	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. Is there a municipal comprehensive plan adopted under the Municipalities Planning Code (53 P.S. 10101, <i>et seq.</i>)?
<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A <input type="checkbox"/>	2. Is this proposal consistent with the comprehensive plan for land use? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. Is this proposal consistent with the use, development, and protection of water resources? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. Is this proposal consistent with municipal land use planning relative to Prime Agricultural Land Preservation?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5. Does this project propose encroachments, obstructions, or dams that will affect wetlands? If yes, describe impacts _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6. Will any known historical or archaeological resources be impacted by this project? If yes, describe impacts _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7. Will any known endangered or threatened species of plant or animal be impacted by this project? If yes, describe impacts _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	8. Is there a municipal zoning ordinance?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9. Is this proposal consistent with the ordinance? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	10. Does the proposal require a change or variance to an existing comprehensive plan or zoning ordinance? Variance approved 7/2021
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11. Have all applicable zoning approvals been obtained?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	12. Is there a municipal subdivision and land development ordinance?

SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (continued)

Yes	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	13. Is this proposal consistent with the ordinance? If no, describe the inconsistencies <u>In review</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	14. Is this plan consistent with the municipal Official Sewage Facilities Plan? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	15. Are there any wastewater disposal needs in the area adjacent to this proposal that should be considered by the municipality? If yes, describe _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	16. Has a waiver of the sewage facilities planning requirements been requested for the residual tract of this subdivision?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	If yes, is the proposed waiver consistent with applicable ordinances? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____
17. Name, title and signature of planning agency staff member completing this section: Name: <u>Kyla Prendergast</u> Title: <u>Senior Environmental Planner</u> Signature: <u><i>Kyla Prendergast</i></u> Date: <u>8/21/2023</u> Name of Municipal Planning Agency: <u>City of Pittsburgh Department of City Planning</u> Address <u>100 Ross St, Suite 202, Pittsburgh, PA 15219</u> Telephone Number: <u>412-522-6551</u>		

SECTION D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (See Section D of instructions)

This component does not limit municipal planning agencies from making additional comments concerning the relevancy of the proposed plan to other plans or ordinances. If additional comments are needed, attach additional sheets.

The planning agency must complete this component within 60 days.

This component and any additional comments are to be returned to the applicant.

EXHIBIT K.

Sewage Facilities Planning Module Component 4C

COUNTY OF



ALLEGHENY

RICH FITZGERALD
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

August 23, 2023

Quinn Sterner, EIT
Gateway Engineers
100 McMorris Road
Pittsburgh, PA 15205

RE: SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE; ALLEGHENY COUNTY
305 Wood Street, City of Pittsburgh

Dear Mr. Sterner:

Enclosed is a signed copy of Component 4C, County or Joint County Health Department Review, for the above-referenced development. The complete Planning Module Component was received on August 21, 2023. The project proposes the following:

Project Description:	Renovation of existing YWCA building and addition of a 20-story apartment building.
Sewage Flow:	59,284 GPD
Conveyance:	Proposed private sanitary and storm laterals will tie into proposed 18" PWSA combination gravity sewer along Third Avenue. This then flows to the Monongahela River Interceptor at M-03, and the ALCOSAN Woods Run Treatment Plant.
Sewer's Owner:	PWSA (collection), ALCOSAN (interceptor)
Sewage Treatment Plant:	ALCOSAN

Please be advised that a permit must be obtained from the Allegheny County Health Department's (ACHD) Plumbing Section prior to commencing any plumbing work for the proposed project. Plumbing work for which an ACHD Plumbing Permit must be obtained includes any plumbing work done on the site and any sewers, which will not be owned and operated by a municipality or a sewer authority.



ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL & SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT

3901 PENN AVENUE • BUILDING 5 • PITTSBURGH, PA 15224-1318

PHONE: 412.578.8040 • FAX: 412.578.8053

WWW.ALLEGHENYCOUNTY.US/HEALTHDEPARTMENT




Mr. Quinn Sterner EIT
RE: Jefferson Hills Borough
Sewage Facilities Planning Module
October 12, 2012
Page 2

In addition, it should be noted that the approval of this sewage facilities planning module does not include approval of pipe size and/or type. Approval for pipe size and/or type must be obtained by filing a specific plumbing plan with the ACHD's Plumbing Section. If you should have any questions relative to ACHD's plumbing requirements, please contact Drew Grese, Plumbing Program Manager, at 412-578-8055.

The ACHD has no objection to the approval of this project. If you have any questions, please call me at 412-578-8388.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in purple ink, appearing to read 'Gina Caliguri', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Gina Caliguri
Environmental Health Administrator II/Compliance Officer
Water Pollution Control & Solid Waste Management

Enclosure

cc: Regis Ryan, PA Department of Environmental Protection w/attachment
Drew Grese, ACHD w/attachment



INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING COMPONENT 4C COUNTY OR JOINT HEALTH DEPARTMENT REVIEW

Remove and recycle these instructions prior to mailing component to the approving agency.

Background

This component, Component 4, is used to obtain the comments of planning agencies and/or health departments having jurisdiction over the project area. It is used in conjunction with other planning module components appropriate to the characteristics of the project proposed.

Who Should Complete the Component?

The component should be completed by any existing municipal planning agency, county planning agency, planning agency with areawide jurisdiction, and/or health department having jurisdiction over the project site. It is divided into sections to allow for convenient use by the appropriate agencies.

The project sponsor must forward copies of this component, along with supporting components and data, to the appropriate planning agency(ies) and health department(s) (if any) having jurisdiction over the development site. These agencies are responsible for responding to the questions in their respective sections of Component 4, as well as providing whatever additional comments they may wish to provide on the project plan. After the agencies have completed their review, the component will be returned to the applicant. The agencies have 60 days in which to provide comments to the applicant. If the agencies fail to comment within this 60 day period, the applicant may proceed to the next stage of the review without the comments. The use of registered mail or certified mail (return receipt requested) by the applicant when forwarding the module package to the agencies will document a date of receipt.

After receipt of the completed Component 4 from the planning agencies, or following expiration of the 60 day period without comments, the applicant must submit the entire component package to the municipality having jurisdiction over the project area for review and action. If approved by the municipality, the proposed plan, along with the municipal action, will be forwarded to the approving agency (Department of Environmental Protection or delegated local agency). The approving agency, in turn, will either approve the proposed plan, return it as incomplete, or disapprove the plan, based upon the information provided.

Instructions for Completing Planning Agency and/or Health Department Review Component

Section A. Project Name

Enter the project name as it appears on the accompanying sewage facilities planning module component (Component 2, 2m, 3, 3s or 3m).

Section B. Review Schedule

Enter the date the package was received by the reviewing agency, and the date that the review was completed.

Section C. Agency Review

1. Answer the yes/no questions and provide any descriptive information necessary on the lines provided. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.
2. Complete the name, title, and signature block.

Section D. Additional Comments

The Agency may provide whatever additional comment(s) it deems necessary, as described in the form. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.

SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE COMPONENT 4C - COUNTY OR JOINT HEALTH DEPARTMENT REVIEW

Note to Project Sponsor: To expedite the review of your proposal, one copy of your completed planning module package and one copy of this *Planning Agency Review Component* should be sent to the county or joint county health department for their comments.

SECTION A. PROJECT NAME (See Section A of instructions)

Project Name

305 Wood Street

SECTION B. REVIEW SCHEDULE (See Section B of instructions)

1. Date plan received by county or joint county health department 8/21/2023

Agency name Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD)

2. Date review completed by agency 8/23/2023

SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (See Section C of instructions)

Yes No

1. Is the proposed plan consistent with the municipality's Official Sewage Facilities Plan?

If no, what are the inconsistencies? _____

2. Are there any wastewater disposal needs in the area adjacent to this proposal that should be considered by the municipality?

If yes, describe _____

3. Is there any known groundwater degradation in the area of this proposal?

If yes, describe _____

4. The county or joint county health department recommendation concerning this proposed plan is as follows: ACHD recommends approval. Please see attached letter.

5. Name, title and signature of person completing this section:

Name: Gina Caliguri

Title: Environmental Health Administrator II/Compliance Officer

Signature: _____

Date: 8/23/2023

Name of County Health Department: Allegheny County Health Department

Address: 3901 Penn Avenue, Building #5, Pittsburgh, PA 15224

Telephone Number: 412-578-8388

SECTION D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (See Section D of instructions)

This component does not limit county planning agencies from making additional comments concerning the relevancy of the proposed plan to other plans or ordinances. If additional comments are needed, attach additional sheets.

The county planning agency must complete this component within 60 days.

This component and any additional comments are to be returned to the applicant.