



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
 BUREAU OF WATER STANDARDS AND FACILITY REGULATION

**TRANSMITTAL LETTER
 FOR SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE**

DEP USE ONLY				
DEP CODE #	APS ID #	CLIENT ID #	SITE ID #	AUTH. ID #

TO: Approving Agency (DEP or delegated local agency)
 Pa. D.E.P. Southwest Regional Office
 400 Waterfront Drive
 Pittsburgh, PA. 15222

Date _____

Dear Sir:

Attached please find a completed Sewage Facilities Planning Module prepared by Lindsay Wagerer
Project Manager for Mcllvried, DiDiano & Mox, LLC.
(Title) (Name)
 a subdivision, commercial ,or industrial facility located in City of Pittsburgh
(City, Borough, Township) Allegheny County.

Check one

- (i) The Planning Module, as prepared and submitted by the applicant, is approved by the municipality as a proposed revision supplement for new land development to its "Official Sewage Facilities Plan", and is adopted for submission to the Department of Environmental Protection transmitted to the delegated local agency for approval in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 71 and the Sewage Facilities Act, OR
- (ii) The Planning Module will not be approved by the municipality as a proposed revision or supplement for new land development to its "Official Sewage Facilities Plan" because the project described therein is unacceptable for the reason(s) checked below.

Check Boxes

- Additional studies are being performed by or on behalf of this municipality which may have an effect on the Planning Module as prepared and submitted by the applicant. Attached hereto is the scope of services to be performed and the time schedule for completion of said studies.
- The Planning Module as submitted by the applicant fails to meet limitations imposed by other laws or ordinances, officially adopted comprehensive plans and/or environmental plans (e.g., zoning, land use, Chapter 71). Specific reference or applicable segments of such laws or plans are attached hereto.
- Other (attach additional sheet giving specifics)

Municipal Secretary: Indicate below by checking appropriate boxes which components are being transmitted to the Approving Agency.

- 2. Individual Onlot Disposal
- Adoption Resolution
- 3. Sewage Collection/Treatment
- 3s Small Flow Treatment Facility
- 4.A. Municipal Planning Agency Review
- 4.B. County Planning Agency Review
- 4.C. Health Department Review

 Municipal Secretary (print)

 Signature

 Date

Note: Please remove and recycle the Instructions portion of the Sewage Facilities Planning Module prior to mailing the appropriate completed components and supporting documents to the approving agency.

DEP Code No.

RESOLUTION FOR PLAN REVISION FOR NEW LAND DEVELOPMENT

RESOLUTION OF THE (SUPERVISORS) (COMMISSIONERS) (COUNCILMEN) of Pittsburgh
(TOWNSHIP) (BOROUGH) (CITY) Allegheny COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA (hereinafter "the municipality").

WHEREAS Section 5 of the Act of January 24, 1966, P.L. 1535, No. 537, known as the *Pennsylvania Sewage Facilities Act*, as Amended, and the rules and Regulations of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) adopted thereunder, Chapter 71 of Title 25 of the Pennsylvania Code, require the municipality to adopt an Official Sewage Facilities Plan providing for sewage services adequate to prevent contamination of waters of the Commonwealth and/or environmental health hazards from sewage wastes, and to revise said plan whenever it is necessary to determine whether a proposed method of sewage disposal for a new land development conforms to a comprehensive program of pollution control and water quality management, and

WHEREAS David Turner land developer has proposed the development of a parcel of land identified as Whitehorse Apartments name of subdivision, and described in the attached Sewage Facilities Planning Module, and proposes that such subdivision be served by: (check all that apply), sewer tap-ins, sewer extension, new treatment facility, individual onlot systems, community onlot systems, spray irrigation, retaining tanks, other, (please specify). _____

WHEREAS, City of Pittsburgh municipality finds that the subdivision described in the attached Sewage Facilities Planning Module conforms to applicable sewage related zoning and other sewage related municipal ordinances and plans, and to a comprehensive program of pollution control and water quality management.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the (Supervisors) (Commissioners) (Councilmen) of the (Township) (Borough) (City) of City of Pittsburgh hereby adopt and submit to DEP for its approval as a revision to the "Official Sewage Facilities Plan" of the municipality the above referenced Sewage Facilities Planning Module which is attached hereto.

I _____, Secretary, _____
(Signature)
Township Board of Supervisors (Borough Council) (City Councilmen), hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Township (Borough) (City) Resolution # _____, adopted, _____, 20____.

Municipal Address:

*Seal of
Governing Body*

Telephone _____

Form



COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF POINT AND NON-POINT SOURCE MANAGEMENT

Code No.

SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE

Component 3. Sewage Collection and Treatment Facilities

(Return completed module package to appropriate municipality)

DEP USE ONLY				
DEP CODE #	CLIENT ID #	SITE ID #	APS ID #	AUTH ID #

This planning module component is used to fulfill the planning requirements of Act 537 for the following types of projects: (1) a subdivision to be served by sewage collection, conveyance or treatment facilities, (2) a tap-in to an existing collection system with flows on a lot of 2 EDU's or more, or (3) the construction of, or modification to, wastewater collection, conveyance or treatment facilities that will require DEP to issue or modify a Clean Streams Law permit. Planning for any project that will require DEP to issue or modify a permit cannot be processed by a delegated agency. Delegated agencies must send their projects to DEP for final planning approval.

This component, along with any other documents specified in the cover letter, must be completed and submitted to the municipality with jurisdiction over the project site for review and approval. All required documentation must be attached for the Sewage Facilities Planning Module to be complete. Refer to the instructions for help in completing this component.

REVIEW FEES: Amendments to the Sewage Facilities Act established fees to be paid by the developer for review of planning modules for land development. These fees may vary depending on the approving agency for the project (DEP or delegated local agency). Please see section R and the instructions for more information on these fees.

NOTE: All projects must complete Sections A through I, and Sections O through R. Complete Sections J, K, L, M and/or N if applicable or marked .

A. PROJECT INFORMATION (See Section A of instructions)

1. Project Name Whitehorse Apartments

2. Brief Project Description

Converting office space to Apartments

B. CLIENT (MUNICIPALITY) INFORMATION (See Section B of instructions)

Municipality Name	County	City	Boro	Twp
City of Pittsburgh - Allegheny County		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Municipality Contact Individual - Last Name	First Name	MI	Suffix	Title
Battistone, Martina				
Additional Individual Last Name	First Name	MI	Suffix	Title
Municipality Mailing Address Line 1	Mailing Address Line 2			
200 Ross Street				
Address Last Line -- City	State	ZIP+4		
Pittsburgh, PA 15219				
Area Code + Phone + Ext.	FAX (optional)	Email (optional)		
412-255-2516				

C. SITE INFORMATION (See Section C of instructions)

Site (Land Development or Project) Name

Whitehorse Apartments

Site Location Line 1

1611 East Carson Street

Site Location Line 2

Site Location Last Line -- City

State

ZIP+4

Latitude

Longitude

Pittsburgh, PA 15203

Detailed Written Directions to Site

From I-79S and I - 279S to Fort Pitt Blvd in Pittsburgh. Take the exit toward Downtown from I-279S, Take Smithfield Street Bridge to E. Carson Street.

Description of Site

Existing office building to be converted to apartments.

Site Contact (Developer/Owner)

Last Name

First Name

MI Suffix

Phone

Ext.

Turner David

610-888-0846

Site Contact Title

Site Contact Firm (if none, leave blank)

Owner

1609-1611 Carson DevCo, LLC

FAX

Email

Mailing Address Line 1

1424 N. Clayton Street

Mailing Address Line 2

Mailing Address Last Line -- City

State

ZIP+4

Wilmington, DE 19806

D. PROJECT CONSULTANT INFORMATION (See Section D of instructions)

Last Name

First Name

MI

Suffix

Wagerer

Lindsay

Title

Consulting Firm Name

Project Manager

Mcllvried, DiDiano & Mox, LLC

Mailing Address Line 1

8851 Kind Drive

Mailing Address Line 2

Address Last Line -- City

Pittsburgh, PA 15237

State

ZIP+4

Country

Allegheny

Email

lwagerer@mdmlc.com

Area Code + Phone

(724) 934-2810 x. 15

Ext.

Area Code + FAX

E. AVAILABILITY OF DRINKING WATER SUPPLY

The project will be provided with drinking water from the following source: (Check appropriate box)

- Individual wells or cisterns.
- A proposed public water supply.
- An existing public water supply.

If existing public water supply is to be used, provide the name of the water company and attach documentation from the water company stating that it will serve the project.

Name of water company: PWSA

F. PROJECT NARRATIVE (See Section F of instructions)

- A narrative has been prepared as described in Section F of the instructions and is attached.

The applicant may choose to include additional information beyond that required by Section F of the instructions.

G. PROPOSED WASTEWATER DISPOSAL FACILITIES (See Section G of instructions)

Check all boxes that apply, and provide information on collection, conveyance and treatment facilities and EDU's served. This information will be used to determine consistency with Chapter 93 (relating to wastewater treatment requirements).

1. COLLECTION SYSTEM

a. Check appropriate box concerning collection system

- New collection system Pump Station Tap-In Force Main
 Grinder pump(s) Extension to existing collection system Expansion of existing facility

Clean Streams Law Permit Number _____

b. Answer questions below on collection system

Number of EDU's and proposed connections to be served by collection system. EDU's 16 ~~15~~ .73

Connections 2

Name of:

existing collection or conveyance system East Carson Street

owner PWSA

existing interceptor Monongahela

owner ALCOSAN

2. WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY

Check all boxes that apply, and provide information on collection, conveyance and treatment facilities and EDU's served. This information will be used to determine consistency with Chapter(s) 91 (relating to general provisions), 92 (relating to national Pollution Discharge Elimination System permitting, monitoring and compliance) and 93 (relating to water quality standards).

a. Check appropriate box and provide requested information concerning the treatment facility

- New facility Existing facility Upgrade of existing facility Expansion of existing facility

Name of existing facility ALCOSAN

NPDES Permit Number for existing facility PA0025984

Clean Streams Law Permit Number _____

Location of discharge point for a new facility. Latitude _____ Longitude _____

b. The following certification statement must be completed and signed by the wastewater treatment facility permittee or their representative.

As an authorized representative of the permittee, I confirm that the ALCOSAN
(Name from above) sewage treatment facilities can accept sewage flows from this project without adversely affecting the facility's ability to achieve all applicable technology and water quality based effluent limits (see Section I) and conditions contained in the NPDES permit identified above.

Name of Permittee Agency, Authority, Municipality ALCOSAN

Name of Responsible Agent Michael D. Luchte

Agent Signature [Signature] Date 5/9/19

(Also see Section I. 4.)

G. PROPOSED WASTEWATER DISPOSAL FACILITIES (Continued)

3. PLOT PLAN

The following information is to be submitted on a plot plan of the proposed subdivision.

- a. Existing and proposed buildings.
- b. Lot lines and lot sizes.
- c. Adjacent lots.
- d. Remainder of tract.
- e. Existing and proposed sewerage facilities. Plot location of discharge point, land application field, spray field, COLDS, or LVCOLDS if a new facility is proposed.
- f. Show tap-in or extension to the point of connection to existing collection system (if applicable).
- g. Existing and proposed water supplies and surface water (wells, springs, ponds, streams, etc.)
- h. Existing and proposed rights-of-way.
- i. Existing and proposed buildings, streets, roadways, access roads, etc.
- j. Any designated recreational or open space area.
- k. Wetlands - from National Wetland Inventory Mapping and USGS Hydric Soils Mapping.
- l. Flood plains or Flood prone areas, floodways, (Federal Flood Insurance Mapping)
- m. Prime Agricultural Land.
- n. Any other facilities (pipelines, power lines, etc.)
- o. Orientation to north.
- p. Locations of all site testing activities (soil profile test pits, slope measurements, permeability test sites, background sampling, etc. (if applicable).
- q. Soils types and boundaries when a land based system is proposed.
- r. Topographic lines with elevations when a land based system is proposed

4. WETLAND PROTECTION

YES NO

- a. YES NO Are there wetlands in the project area? If yes, ensure these areas appear on the plot plan as shown in the mapping or through on-site delineation.
- b. YES NO Are there any construction activities (encroachments, or obstructions) proposed in, along, or through the wetlands? If yes, identify any proposed encroachments on wetlands and identify whether a General Permit or a full encroachment permit will be required. If a full permit is required, address time and cost impacts on the project. Note that wetland encroachments should be avoided where feasible. Also note that a feasible alternative **MUST BE SELECTED** to an identified encroachment on an exceptional value wetland as defined in Chapter 105. Identify any project impacts on streams classified as HQ or EV and address impacts of the permitting requirements of said encroachments on the project.

5. PRIME AGRICULTURAL LAND PROTECTION

YES NO

- YES NO Will the project involve the disturbance of prime agricultural lands?
If yes, coordinate with local officials to resolve any conflicts with the local prime agricultural land protection program. The project must be consistent with such municipal programs before the sewage facilities planning module package may be submitted to DEP.
If no, prime agricultural land protection is not a factor to this project.
- YES NO Have prime agricultural land protection issues been settled?

6. HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT

YES NO

- YES NO Sufficient documentation is attached to confirm that this project is consistent with DEP Technical Guidance 012-0700-001 *Implementation of the PA State History Code* (available online at the DEP website at www.dep.state.pa.us, select "subject" then select "technical guidance"). As a minimum this includes copies of the completed Cultural Resources Notice (CRN), a return receipt for its submission to the PHMC and the PHMC review letter.

7. PROTECTION OF RARE, ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES

Check one:

- The "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Project Environmental Review Receipt" resulting from my search of the PNDI database and all supporting documentation from jurisdictional agencies (when necessary) is/are attached.
- A completed "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Project Planning & Environmental Review Form," (PNDI Form) available at www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us, and all required supporting documentation is attached. I request DEP staff to complete the required PNDI search for my project. I realize that my planning module will be considered incomplete upon submission to the Department and that the DEP review will not begin, and that processing of my planning module will be delayed, until a "PNDI Project Environmental Review Receipt" and all supporting documentation from jurisdictional agencies (when necessary) is/are received by DEP.

Applicant or Consultant Initials _____.

H. ALTERNATIVE SEWAGE FACILITIES ANALYSIS (See Section H of instructions)

- An alternative sewage facilities analysis has been prepared as described in Section H of the attached instructions and is attached to this component.
The applicant may choose to include additional information beyond that required by Section H of the attached instructions.

I. COMPLIANCE WITH WATER QUALITY STANDARDS AND EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS (See Section I of instructions) (Check and complete all that apply.)

1. Waters designated for Special Protection

- The proposed project will result in a new or increased discharge into special protection waters as identified in Title 25, Pennsylvania Code, Chapter 93. The Social or Economic Justification (SEJ) required by Section 93.4c. is attached.

2. Pennsylvania Waters Designated As Impaired

- The proposed project will result in a new or increased discharge of a pollutant into waters that DEP has identified as being impaired by that pollutant. A pre-planning meeting was held with the appropriate DEP regional office staff to discuss water quality based discharge limitations.

3. Interstate and International Waters

- The proposed project will result in a new or increased discharge into interstate or international waters. A pre-planning meeting was held with the appropriate DEP regional office staff to discuss effluent limitations necessary to meet the requirements of the interstate or international compact.

4. Tributaries To The Chesapeake Bay

- The proposed project result in a new or increased discharge of sewage into a tributary to the Chesapeake Bay. This proposal for a new sewage treatment facility or new flows to an existing facility includes total nitrogen and total phosphorus in the following amounts: _____ pounds of TN per year, and _____ pounds of TP per year. Based on the process design and effluent limits, the total nitrogen treatment capacity of the wastewater treatment facility is _____ pounds per year and the total phosphorus capacity is _____ pounds per year as determined by the wastewater treatment facility permittee. The permittee has determined that the additional TN and TP to be contributed by this project (as modified by credits and/or offsets to be provided) will not cause the discharge to exceed the annual total mass limits for these parameters. Documentation of compliance with nutrient allocations is attached.

Name of Permittee Agency, Authority, Municipality _____

Initials of Responsible Agent (See Section G 2.b) _____

See *Special Instructions* (Form 3800-FM-BPNPSM0353-1) for additional information on Chesapeake Bay watershed requirements.

J. CHAPTER 94 CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION (See Section J of instructions)

Projects that propose the use of existing municipal collection, conveyance or wastewater treatment facilities, or the construction of collection and conveyance facilities to be served by existing municipal wastewater treatment facilities must be consistent with the requirements of Title 25, Chapter 94 (relating to Municipal Wasteload Management). If not previously included in Section F, include a general map showing the path of the sewage to the treatment facility. If more than one municipality or authority will be affected by the project, please obtain the information required in this section for each. Additional sheets may be attached for this purpose.

1. Project Flows 6,690 gpd
2. Total Sewage Flows to Facilities (pathway from point of origin through treatment plant)

When providing "treatment facilities" sewage flows, use Annual Average Daily Flow for "average" and Maximum Monthly Average Daily Flow for "peak" in all cases. For "peak flows" in "collection" and "conveyance" facilities, indicate whether these flows are "peak hourly flow" or "peak instantaneous flow" and how this figure was derived (i.e., metered, measured, estimated, etc.).

- a. Enter average and peak sewage flows for each proposed or existing facility as designed or permitted.
- b. Enter the average and peak sewage flows for the most restrictive sections of the existing sewage facilities.
- c. Enter the average and peak sewage flows, projected for 5 years (2 years for pump stations) through the most restrictive sections of the existing sewage facilities. Include existing, proposed (this project) and future project (other approved projects) flows.

To complete the table, refer to the instructions, Section J.

	a. Design and/or Permitted Capacity (gpd)		b. Present Flows (gpd)		c. Projected Flows in 5 years (gpd) (2 years for P.S.)	
	Average	Peak	Average	Peak	Average	Peak
Collection	638,930	2,236,256	109,874	384,559	121,878	426,571
Conveyance		1.51 mgd	0.14 mgd	0.20 mgd	0.21	0.27 mgd
Treatment		250 mgd	194.8 mgd	250 mgd	194.8	250 mgd

3. Collection and Conveyance Facilities

The questions below are to be answered by the sewer authority, municipality, or agency responsible for completing the Chapter 94 report for the collection and conveyance facilities. These questions should be answered in coordination with the latest Chapter 94 annual report and the above table. The individual(s) signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

YES NO

- a. This project proposes sewer extensions or tap-ins. Will these actions create a hydraulic overload within five years on any existing collection or conveyance facilities that are part of the system?

If yes, this sewage facilities planning module will not be accepted for review by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until all inconsistencies with Chapter 94 are resolved or unless there is an approved Corrective Action Plan (CAP) granting an allocation for this project. A letter granting allocations to this project under the CAP must be attached to the module package.

If no, a representative of the sewer authority, municipality, or agency responsible for completing the Chapter 94 report for the collection and conveyance facilities must sign below to indicate that the collection and conveyance facilities have adequate capacity and are able to provide service to the proposed development in accordance with both §71.53(d)(3) and Chapter 94 requirements and that this proposal will not affect that status.

b. Collection System

Name of Agency, Authority, Municipality PWSA

Name of Responsible Agent BARRY KING

Agent Signature [Signature] Date 4/4/19

J. CHAPTER 94 CONSISTENCY DETERMINATION (See Section J of instructions)

c. Conveyance System

Name of Agency, Authority, Municipality PA0025984 ALCOSAN

Name of Responsible Agent Michael D. Latta

Agent Signature [Signature]

Date 5/9/19

4. Treatment Facility

The questions below are to be answered by a representative of the facility permittee in coordination with the information in the table and the latest Chapter 94 report. The individual signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

YES NO

- a. This project proposes the use of an existing wastewater treatment plant for the disposal of sewage. Will this action create a hydraulic or organic overload within 5 years at that facility?

If yes, this planning module for sewage facilities will not be reviewed by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until this inconsistency with Chapter 94 is resolved or unless there is an approved CAP granting an allocation for this project. A letter granting allocations to this project under the CAP must be attached to the planning module.

If no, the treatment facility permittee must sign below to indicate that this facility has adequate treatment capacity and is able to provide wastewater treatment services for the proposed development in accordance with both §71.53(d)(3) and Chapter 94 requirements and that this proposal will not impact that status.

b. Name of Agency, Authority, Municipality Alcosan

Name of Responsible Agent Michael D. Latta

Agent Signature [Signature]

Date 5/9/19

K. TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL OPTIONS (See Section K of instructions)

This section is for land development projects that propose construction of wastewater treatment facilities. Please note that, since these projects require permits issued by DEP, these projects may **NOT** receive final planning approval from a delegated local agency. Delegated local agencies must send these projects to DEP for final planning approval.

Check the appropriate box indicating the selected treatment and disposal option.

- 1. Spray irrigation (other than individual residential spray systems (IRSIS)) or other land application is proposed, and the information requested in Section K.1. of the planning module instructions are attached.
- 2. Recycle and reuse is proposed and the information requested in Section K-2 of the planning module instructions is attached.
- 3. A discharge to a dry stream channel is proposed, and the information requested in Section K.3. of the planning module instructions are attached.
- 4. A discharge to a perennial surface water body is proposed, and the information requested in Section K.4. of the planning module instructions are attached.

L. PERMEABILITY TESTING (See Section L of instructions)

- The information required in Section L of the instructions is attached.

M. PRELIMINARY HYDROGEOLOGIC STUDY (See Section M of instructions)

- The information required in Section M of the instructions is attached.

N. DETAILED HYDROGEOLOGIC STUDY (See Section N of instructions)

The detailed hydrogeologic information required in Section N. of the instructions is attached.

O. SEWAGE MANAGEMENT (See Section O of instructions)

(1-3 for completion by the developer(project sponser), 4-5 for completion by the non-municipal facility agent and 6 for completion by the municipality)

Yes No

1. Is connection to, or construction of, a DEP permitted, non-municipal sewage facility or a local agency permitted, community onlot sewage facility proposed.

If Yes, respond to the following questions, attach the supporting analysis, and an evaluation of the options available to assure long-term proper operation and maintenance of the proposed non-municipal facilities. If No, skip the remainder of Section O.

2. Project Flows _____ gpd

Yes No

3. Is the use of nutrient credits or offsets a part of this project?

If yes, attach a letter of intent to purchase the necessary credits and describe the assurance that these credits and offsets will be available for the remaining design life of the non-municipal sewage facility;

(For completion by non-municipal facility agent)

4. Collection and Conveyance Facilities

The questions below are to be answered by the organization/individual responsible for the non-municipal collection and conveyance facilities. The individual(s) signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

Yes No

- a. If this project proposes sewer extensions or tap-ins, will these actions create a hydraulic overload on any existing collection or conveyance facilities that are part of the system?

If yes, this sewage facilities planning module will not be accepted for review by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until this issue is resolved.

If no, a representative of the organization responsible for the collection and conveyance facilities must sign below to indicate that the collection and conveyance facilities have adequate capacity and are able to provide service to the proposed development in accordance with Chapter 71 §71.53(d)(3) and that this proposal will not affect that status.

- b. Collection System

Name of Responsible Organization _____

Name of Responsible Agent _____

Agent Signature _____

Date _____

- c. Conveyance System

Name of Responsible Organization _____

Name of Responsible Agent _____

Agent Signature _____

Date _____

5. Treatment Facility

The questions below are to be answered by a representative of the facility permittee. The individual signing below must be legally authorized to make representation for the organization.

Yes No

- a. If this project proposes the use of an existing non-municipal wastewater treatment plant for the disposal of sewage, will this action create a hydraulic or organic overload at that facility?

If yes, this planning module for sewage facilities will not be reviewed by the municipality, delegated local agency and/or DEP until this issue is resolved.

If no, the treatment facility permittee must sign below to indicate that this facility has adequate treatment capacity and is able to provide wastewater treatment services for the proposed development in accordance with §71.53(d)(3) and that this proposal will not impact that status.

- b. Name of Facility _____
 Name of Responsible Agent _____
 Agent Signature _____
 Date _____

(For completion by the municipality)

6. The **SELECTED OPTION** necessary to assure long-term proper operation and maintenance of the proposed non-municipal facilities is clearly identified with documentation attached in the planning module package.

P. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT (See Section P of instructions)

This section must be completed to determine if the applicant will be required to publish facts about the project in a newspaper of general circulation to provide a chance for the general public to comment on proposed new land development projects. This notice may be provided by the applicant or the applicant's agent, the municipality or the local agency by publication in a newspaper of general circulation within the municipality affected. Where an applicant or an applicant's agent provides the required notice for publication, the applicant or applicant's agent shall notify the municipality or local agency and the municipality and local agency will be relieved of the obligation to publish. The required content of the publication notice is found in Section P of the instructions.

To complete this section, each of the following questions must be answered with a "yes" or "no". Newspaper publication is required if any of the following are answered "yes".

Yes No

1. Does the project propose the construction of a sewage treatment facility ?
2. Will the project change the flow at an existing sewage treatment facility by more than 50,000 gallons per day?
3. Will the project result in a public expenditure for the sewage facilities portion of the project in excess of \$100,000?
4. Will the project lead to a major modification of the existing municipal administrative organizations within the municipal government?
5. Will the project require the establishment of *new* municipal administrative organizations within the municipal government?
6. Will the project result in a subdivision of 50 lots or more? (onlot sewage disposal only)
7. Does the project involve a major change in established growth projections?
8. Does the project involve a different land use pattern than that established in the municipality's Official Sewage Plan?

P. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT cont'd. (See Section P of instructions)

- 9. Does the project involve the use of large volume onlot sewage disposal systems (Flow > 10,000 gpd)?
- 10. Does the project require resolution of a conflict between the proposed alternative and consistency requirements contained in §71.21(a)(5)(i), (ii), (iii)?
- 11. Will sewage facilities discharge into high quality or exceptional value waters?
- Attached is a copy of:
 - the public notice,
 - all comments received as a result of the notice,
 - the municipal response to these comments.
- No comments were received. A copy of the public notice is attached.

Q. FALSE SWEARING STATEMENT (See Section Q of instructions)

I verify that the statements made in this component are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements in this component are made subject to the penalties of 18 PA C.S.A. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Lindsay Wagerer

Name (Print)

Signature

Project Manager

01-25-19

Date

Title

McIlvried, DiDiano & Mox, LLC - 8851 Kind Dr., Pittsburgh, PA 15237

724-934-2810 x 15

Telephone Number

Address

R. REVIEW FEE (See Section R of instructions)

The Sewage Facilities Act establishes a fee for the DEP planning module review. DEP will calculate the review fee for the project and invoice the project sponsor **OR** the project sponsor may attach a self-calculated fee payment to the planning module prior to submission of the planning package to DEP. (Since the fee and fee collection procedures may vary if a "delegated local agency" is conducting the review, the project sponsor should contact the "delegated local agency" to determine these details.) Check the appropriate box.

- I request DEP calculate the review fee for my project and send me an invoice for the correct amount. I understand DEP's review of my project will not begin until DEP receives the correct review fee from me for the project.
- I have calculated the review fee for my project using the formula found below and the review fee guidance in the instructions. I have attached a check or money order in the amount of \$ 800.00 payable to "Commonwealth of PA, DEP". Include DEP code number on check. I understand DEP will not begin review of my project unless it receives the fee and determines the fee is correct. If the fee is incorrect, DEP will return my check or money order, send me an invoice for the correct amount. I understand DEP review will NOT begin until I have submitted the correct fee.
- I request to be exempt from the DEP planning module review fee because this planning module creates **only** one new lot and is the **only** lot subdivided from a parcel of land as that land existed on December 14, 1995. I realize that subdivision of a second lot from this parcel of land shall disqualify me from this review fee exemption. I am furnishing the following deed reference information in support of my fee exemption.

County Recorder of Deeds for _____ County, Pennsylvania

Deed Volume _____ Book Number _____

Page Number _____ Date Recorded _____

R. REVIEW FEE (continued)

Formula:

1. For a new collection system (with or without a Clean Streams Law Permit), a collection system extension, or individual tap-ins to an existing collection system use this formula.

$$\# \underline{17} \quad \text{Lots (or EDUs)} \times \$50.00 = \$ \underline{850.00}$$

The fee is based upon:

- The number of lots created or number of EDUs whichever is higher.
- For community sewer system projects, one EDU is equal to a sewage flow of 400 gallons per day.

2. For a surface or subsurface discharge system, use the appropriate one of these formulae.

- A. A new surface discharge greater than 2000 gpd will use a flat fee:

\$ 1,500 per submittal (non-municipal)
\$ 500 per submittal (municipal)

- B. An increase in an existing surface discharge will use:

$$\# \underline{\quad\quad\quad} \quad \text{Lots (or EDUs)} \times \$35.00 = \$ \underline{\quad\quad\quad}$$

to a maximum of \$ 1,500 per submittal (non-municipal) or \$ 500 per submittal (municipal)

The fee is based upon:

- The number of lots created or number of EDUs whichever is higher.
- For community sewage system projects one EDU is equal to a sewage flow of 400 gallons per day.
- For non-single family residential projects, EDUs are calculated using projected population figures

- C. A sub-surface discharge system that requires a permit under The Clean Streams Law will use a flat fee:

\$ 1,500 per submittal (non-municipal)
\$ 500 per submittal (municipal)

April 4, 2019

Ms. Lindsay Wagerer
McIlvried, DiDiano & Mox, LLC
8851 Kind Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

**RE: Whitehorse Apartments
1611 East Carson St. – 15203**

Dear Ms. Lindsay Wagerer

The Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA) has reviewed your submitted PA DEP Sewage Facilities Planning Module Component 3.


PWSA has approved your submittal and signed Section J and is returning to you for processing to ALCOSAN for final signature. Contact Leslie Stevens at the City of Pittsburgh Law Department at (412-255-2005) to prepare the required City Resolution that Council will need to approve for this project.

Once you receive City Council Resolution, you must submit the complete package to the DEP for final review and approval. You must include all documentation including the approved City of Pittsburgh Council Resolution and any review fees.

Once PWSA receives a copy of the approved Sewage Facilities Planning Module from the DEP, PWSA will process the tap in plan. PWSA is not permitted to issue final approval or a PWSA Permit for connection to the water and/or sewer mains until approval from DEP is granted.

If you have any questions specific to PWSA feel free to contact me. For any general questions regarding the Planning Module you must contact the DEP.

Sincerely,



Brett McAllister
Associate Project Manager

CC (via email): Thomas Flanagan – DEP
Kate Mechler – PWSA
Julie Ascioffa - PWSA
Leslie Stevens – City of Pittsburgh Law Department
Michael Lichte - ALCOSAN
PWSA File



Members of the Board

Sylvia C. Wilson
Chair Person

Gregory A. Jones
Jack Shea
Rep. Harry Readshaw
John Weinstein
Corey O'Connor
Brenda L. Smith

Arletta Scott Williams
Executive Director

Arthur M. Tamalia, Esq.
*Director
Environmental Compliance*

William H. Inks, CPA
*Director
Finance & Administration*

Jan M. Oliver
*Director
Regional Conveyance*

Douglas A. Jackson, P.E.
*Director
Operations & Maintenance*

Kimberly N. Kennedy, P.E.
*Director
Engineering & Construction*

Jeanne K. Clark
*Director
Governmental Affairs*

Joseph Vallarian
*Director
Communications*

May 9, 2019

Ms. Lindsay Wagerer
Project Manager
McIlvried, Didano, and Mox, Inc.
8851 Kind Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

**Re: 1611 East Carson Street, City of Pittsburgh
PA DEP Sewage Facilities Planning Module
ALCOSAN Regulator Structure M-13-00**

Dear Ms. Wagerer:

We have reviewed the Component 3 Planning Module for the referenced project to be located in the City of Pittsburgh. The project will generate a peak flow of 6,690 gpd in the ALCOSAN Monongahela Interceptor and Woods Run Treatment Plant.

The capacity at the ALCOSAN M-13-00 Diversion Structure is 1.51 MGD. The monitored daily peak dry weather flow is approximately 20,000 GPD. Sufficient dry weather capacity exists for this connection. However, the ALCOSAN Monongahela Interceptor and the Woods Run Treatment Plant do not have the capacity for the flows generated by the tributary communities during wet weather periods. This limitation will be analyzed further as ALCOSAN implements its Clean Water Plan.

ALCOSAN has completed and signed the sections required in the Component 3 module and requests that this letter be made part of the planning module submission. If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at 412-732-8004.

Sincerely,


ALLEGHENY COUNTY SANITARY AUTHORITY

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Michael Lichte", written over a horizontal line.

Michael Lichte, PE
Manager of Planning

attachment

cc: T. Dean (w/o attachment)
D. Thornton (w/o attachment)
S. McWilliams (w/o attachment)
Barry King/ PWSA (w/o attachment)
T. Flanagan/ PADEP (w/o attachment)
M. Scheer/ ACHD (w/o attachment)



MCILVRIED, DIDIANO, & MOX, LLC

*"... That which does not kill us makes us stronger..."
(Conan The Barbarian)*

Site Planners • Engineers • Surveyors
8851 Kind Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15237
PHONE: (724) 934-2810
FAX: (724) 934-2811
www.mdmlc.com

Project Narrative

Project:

Whitehorse Apartments
1611 East Carson Street
Pittsburgh, PA 15203

The proposed project will consist of interior renovation to the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th floors. The 1st floor will remain retail. There is no exterior modification to the building proposed. The proposed project will connect to the public sanitary sewer system located in E. Carson Street with approximately 18' of 6" SDR 35 PVC pipe that is under the jurisdiction of PWSA and will flow to the ALCOSAN treatment plant.

The total water consumption for the proposed development will be approximately 6,200 gpd (excluding HVAC condensation) and the total sanitary flows 6,540 gpd (including HVAC). Site stormwater flows will be 1.07cfs for a 25-year storm event.

No additional future developments or phases of development are proposed in conjunction to this proposed land development project.

ALTERNATIVE SEWAGE FACILITIES ANALYSIS:

No alternative sanitary sewage method is proposed for this project

1. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name: **White Horse Apartments**

Date of Review: **8/23/2018 03:15:15 PM**

Project Category: **Development, Residential, single-family living unit (not located within a subdivision)**

Project Area: **0.20 acres**

County(s): **Allegheny**

Township/Municipality(s): **PITTSBURGH**

ZIP Code: **15203**

Quadrangle Name(s): **PITTSBURGH EAST**

Watersheds HUC 8: **Lower Monongahela**

Watersheds HUC 12: **Streets Run-Monongahela River**

Decimal Degrees: **40.429032, -79.982259**

Degrees Minutes Seconds: **40° 25' 44.5165" N, 79° 58' 56.1337" W**

2. SEARCH RESULTS

Agency	Results	Response
PA Game Commission	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required
PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required
PA Fish and Boat Commission	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	No Known Impact	No Further Review Required


As summarized above, Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) records indicate no known impacts to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources within the project area. Therefore, based on the information you provided, no further coordination is required with the jurisdictional agencies. This response does not reflect potential agency concerns regarding impacts to other ecological resources, such as wetlands.

White Horse Apartments




- Project Boundary
- Buffered Project Boundary

Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community
Esri, HERE, Garmin, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS user community




White Horse Apartments



- Project Boundary
- Buffered Project Boundary

Service Layer Credits: Sources: Esri, HERE, DeLorme, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, IGN, Kadaster NL, Ordnance Survey, Esri Japan, METI, Esri China (Hong Kong), swisstopo, MapmyIndia, © OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community
 Sources: Esri, HERE, Garmin, Intermap, increment P Corp., GEBCO, USGS, FAO, NPS,



3. AGENCY COMMENTS

Regardless of whether a DEP permit is necessary for this proposed project, any potential impacts to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources must be resolved with the appropriate jurisdictional agency. In some cases, a permit or authorization from the jurisdictional agency may be needed if adverse impacts to these species and habitats cannot be avoided.

These agency determinations and responses are **valid for two years** (from the date of the review), and are based on the project information that was provided, including the exact project location; the project type, description, and features; and any responses to questions that were generated during this search. If any of the following change: 1) project location, 2) project size or configuration, 3) project type, or 4) responses to the questions that were asked during the online review, the results of this review are not valid, and the review must be searched again via the PNDI Environmental Review Tool and resubmitted to the jurisdictional agencies. The PNDI tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer impacts than what is listed on this PNDI receipt. The jurisdictional agencies **strongly advise against** conducting surveys for the species listed on the receipt prior to consultation with the agencies.

PA Game Commission

RESPONSE:

No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

RESPONSE:

No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

PA Fish and Boat Commission

RESPONSE:

No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

RESPONSE:

No impacts to **federally** listed or proposed species are anticipated. Therefore, no further consultation/coordination under the Endangered Species Act (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq. is required. Because no take of federally listed species is anticipated, none is authorized. This response does not reflect potential Fish and Wildlife Service concerns under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act or other authorities.

4. DEP INFORMATION

The Pa Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) requires that a signed copy of this receipt, along with any required documentation from jurisdictional agencies concerning resolution of potential impacts, be submitted with applications for permits requiring PNDI review. Two review options are available to permit applicants for handling PNDI coordination in conjunction with DEP's permit review process involving either T&E Species or species of special concern. Under sequential review, the permit applicant performs a PNDI screening and completes all coordination with the appropriate jurisdictional agencies prior to submitting the permit application. The applicant will include with its application, both a PNDI receipt and/or a clearance letter from the jurisdictional agency if the PNDI Receipt shows a Potential Impact to a species or the applicant chooses to obtain letters directly from the jurisdictional agencies. Under concurrent review, DEP, where feasible, will allow technical review of the permit to occur concurrently with the T&E species consultation with the jurisdictional agency. The applicant must still supply a copy of the PNDI Receipt with its permit application. The PNDI Receipt should also be submitted to the appropriate agency according to directions on the PNDI Receipt. The applicant and the jurisdictional agency will work together to resolve the potential impact(s). See the DEP PNDI policy at <https://conservationexplorer.dcnr.pa.gov/content/resources>.

5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The PNDI environmental review website is a preliminary screening tool. There are often delays in updating species status classifications. Because the proposed status represents the best available information regarding the conservation status of the species, state jurisdictional agency staff give the proposed statuses at least the same consideration as the current legal status. If surveys or further information reveal that a threatened and endangered and/or special concern species and resources exist in your project area, contact the appropriate jurisdictional agency/agencies immediately to identify and resolve any impacts.

For a list of species known to occur in the county where your project is located, please see the species lists by county found on the PA Natural Heritage Program (PNHP) home page (www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us). Also note that the PNDI Environmental Review Tool only contains information about species occurrences that have actually been reported to the PNHP.

6. AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources

Bureau of Forestry, Ecological Services Section
400 Market Street, PO Box 8552
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8552
Email: RA-HeritageReview@pa.gov

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Pennsylvania Field Office
Endangered Species Section
110 Radnor Rd; Suite 101
State College, PA 16801
NO Faxes Please

PA Fish and Boat Commission

Division of Environmental Services
595 E. Rolling Ridge Dr., Bellefonte, PA 16823
Email: RA-FBPACENOTIFY@pa.gov

PA Game Commission

Bureau of Wildlife Habitat Management
Division of Environmental Planning and Habitat Protection
2001 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17110-9797
Email: RA-PGC_PNDI@pa.gov
NO Faxes Please

7. PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION

Name: Lindsay Wagerer
Company/Business Name: Mcllvried, DiDiano & Mox, LLC.
Address: 8851 Kind Drive
City, State, Zip: Pittsburgh, PA. 15237
Phone: (724) 934-2810, x-15 Fax: (724) 934-2811
Email: lwagerer@mdmlc.com

8. CERTIFICATION

I certify that ALL of the project information contained in this receipt (including project location, project size/configuration, project type, answers to questions) is true, accurate and complete. In addition, if the project type, location, size or configuration changes, or if the answers to any questions that were asked during this online review change, I agree to re-do the online environmental review.


applicant/project proponent signature

4/9/19
date



Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation Office

PENNSYLVANIA HISTORICAL AND MUSEUM COMMISSION

January 10, 2019

Lindsay Wagerer
MDM
8851 Kind Drive
Pittsburgh PA 15237

ER 2018-2295-003-C: DEP Component 3 Planning Module, Whitehorse Apartments 1611 East Carson Street /1607 East Carson Street Nakama Building (Former Loch Building), Pittsburgh, Allegheny County, Receipt of additional information

Dear Ms. Wagerer,

Thank you for submitting information concerning the above referenced project.

For the State History Code review of the DEP Component 3 Planning Module, based upon the plans provided and the continued refinement of the overhang designs and the corner design refinement, it is our opinion that this rehabilitation project should have no adverse effect upon the East Carson Street Historic District, Key 050654 (former Loch Building is a contributing building). This finding is conditional upon our review of refined project plans and specifications and their conformance with the *Secretary of Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation* and *Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings*. Please make arrangements to forward photographs, specifications, and architectural drawings or work write-ups to our office when they become available.

It does not apply to the findings or comments regarding the Federal Rehabilitation Investment Tax Credit application under review by our tax credit staff.

If you need further information in this matter, please contact Cheryl L. Nagle at chnagle@pa.gov or (717) 772-4519.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. McLearn', is written over a horizontal line.

Douglas C. McLearn, Chief
Division of Environmental Review

cc: Shawn Massey, PA SHPO Tax Credit Reviewer



DEP Code #: _____

**SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE
COMPONENT 4A - MUNICIPAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW**

Note to Project Sponsor: To expedite the review of your proposal, one copy of your completed planning module package and one copy of this *Planning Agency Review Component* should be sent to the local municipal planning agency for their comments.

SECTION A. PROJECT NAME (See Section A of Instructions)

Project Name
Whitehorse Apartments - 1611 East Carson Street, Pittsburgh, PA

SECTION B. REVIEW SCHEDULE (See Section B of Instructions)

1. Date plan received by municipal planning agency August 21, 2018
2. Date review completed by agency August 31, 2018

SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (See Section C of Instructions)

Yes	No	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. Is there a municipal comprehensive plan adopted under the Municipalities Planning Code (53 P.S. 10101, <i>et seq.</i>)?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. Is this proposal consistent with the comprehensive plan for land use? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. Is this proposal consistent with the use, development, and protection of water resources? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. Is this proposal consistent with municipal land use planning relative to Prime Agricultural Land Preservation?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5. Does this project propose encroachments, obstructions, or dams that will affect wetlands? If yes, describe impacts _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6. Will any known historical or archaeological resources be impacted by this project? If yes, describe impacts _____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7. Will any known endangered or threatened species of plant or animal be impacted by this project? If yes, describe impacts _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	8. Is there a municipal zoning ordinance?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	9. Is this proposal consistent with the ordinance? If no, describe the inconsistencies <u>under review</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	10. Does the proposal require a change or variance to an existing comprehensive plan or zoning ordinance?
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	11. Have all applicable zoning approvals been obtained?
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	12. Is there a municipal subdivision and land development ordinance?

SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (continued)	
Yes	No
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
13. Is this proposal consistent with the ordinance? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
14. Is this plan consistent with the municipal Official Sewage Facilities Plan? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
15. Are there any wastewater disposal needs in the area adjacent to this proposal that should be considered by the municipality? If yes, describe _____	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
16. Has a waiver of the sewage facilities planning requirements been requested for the residual tract of this subdivision?	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If yes, is the proposed waiver consistent with applicable ordinances? If no, describe the inconsistencies _____	
17. Name, title and signature of planning agency staff member completing this section: Name: <u>Martina Battistone</u> Title: <u>Senior Environmental Planner</u> Signature: <u>M Battistone</u> Date: <u>8/31/18</u> Name of Municipal Planning Agency: <u>Pittsburgh Dept. City Planning</u> Address: <u>200 Ross Street 4th Floor Pittsburgh, PA 15219</u> Telephone Number: <u>(412) 255-2510</u>	
SECTION D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (See Section D of instructions)	
This component does not limit municipal planning agencies from making additional comments concerning the relevancy of the proposed plan to other plans or ordinances. If additional comments are needed, attach additional sheets.	
The planning agency must complete this component within 60 days.	
This component and any additional comments are to be returned to the applicant.	



DEP Code #

**SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE
 COMPONENT 4C - COUNTY OR JOINT HEALTH DEPARTMENT REVIEW**

Note to Project Sponsor: To expedite the review of your proposal, one copy of your completed planning module package and one copy of this *Planning Agency Review Component* should be sent to the county or joint county health department for their comments.

SECTION A. PROJECT NAME (See Section A of instructions)

Project Name
WHITEHORSE APARTMENTS, 1611 EAST CARSON STREET, PITTSBURGH, PA

SECTION B. REVIEW SCHEDULE (See Section B of instructions)

1. Date plan received by county or joint-county health department. September 10, 2018
 Agency name Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD)
2. Date review completed by agency September 14, 2018

SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (See Section C of instructions)

- | Yes | No | |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 1. Is the proposed plan consistent with the municipality's Official Sewage Facilities Plan?
If no, what are the inconsistencies? _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 2. Are there any waste water disposal needs in the area adjacent to the new land development that should be considered by the municipality?
If yes, describe _____ |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | 3. Is there any known groundwater degradation in the area of the proposed subdivision?
If yes, describe _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | 4. The county or joint county health department recommendation concerning this proposed plan is as follows: <u>ACHD recommends approval. See attached letter.</u> |
| 5. Name, title and signature of person completing this section: | | |
| Name: <u>Sam Shamsi, PE</u> | | |
| Title: <u>Environmental Health Engineer III</u> | | |
| Signature: <u><i>Sam Shamsi</i></u> | | |
| Date: <u>September 13, 2018</u> | | |
| Name of County Health Department: <u>ACHD</u> | | |
| Address: <u>3901 Penn Avenue, Building #5, Pittsburgh PA 15224-1318</u> | | |
| Telephone Number: <u>412-578-8046</u> | | |

SECTION D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (See Section D of instructions)

This Component does not limit county planning agencies from making additional comments concerning the relevancy of the proposed plan to other plans or ordinances. If additional comments are needed, attach additional sheets.

The county planning agency must complete this Component within 60 days.
 This Component and any additional comments are to be returned to the applicant.

COUNTY OF



ALLEGHENY

RICH FITZGERALD
COUNTY EXECUTIVE

September 14, 2018

Lindsay Wagerer, Project Manager
McIlvried DiDiano & Mox, LLC
8851 Kind Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15237

RE: SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE
WHITEHORSE APARTMENTS
CITY OF PITTSBURGH

Dear Ms. Wagerer,

Enclosed is a signed copy of Component 4C, County or Joint County Health Department Review, for the above-referenced development located on East Carson Street in the City of Pittsburgh, Allegheny County. This Planning Module Component was received on September 10, 2018. Additional information requested by us was received by Email on September 14, 2018. The project proposes the following:

Project Description:	Vacant existing building floors 2, 3, and 4 will be converted into apartments and a small addition is proposed to the existing building.
Sewage Flow:	6,540 GPD
Conveyance:	Sanitary and stormwater flow from the proposed development will be conveyed in a 18 ft, 6 in SDR 35 PVC pipe and 8 in HDPE pipe, respectively, to the existing 20" PWSA combined sewer on East Carson St. The PWSA system will flow to ALCOSAN interceptor at Point of Connection (POC) structure M-13.
Sewer's Owner:	Pittsburgh Water and Sewer Authority (PWSA)
Name of Sewage Treatment Plant:	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority (ALCOSAN)

Please be advised that a permit must be obtained from the Allegheny County Health Department's (ACHD) Plumbing Section prior to commencing any plumbing work for the proposed project. Plumbing work for which an ACHD Plumbing Permit must be obtained includes any plumbing work done on the site and any sewers, which will not be owned and operated by a municipality or a sewer authority. In addition, it should be noted that the approval of this sewage facilities planning module does not include approval of pipe size and/or type. Approval for pipe size and/or type must be obtained by filing a specific plumbing plan with the ACHD's Plumbing Section. If you should have any questions relative to ACHD's plumbing requirements, Ivo Miller, Acting Plumbing Program Manager at 412-578-8393.

The ACHD has no objection to the approval of this project. If you have any questions, please call me at 412-578-8046.

Sincerely,

Sam Shamsi, PE
Environmental Health Engineer III
Water Pollution Control & Solid Waste Management

Enclosure

cc: Thomas Flanagan, PA Department of Environmental Protection w/attachment
Ivo Miller, ACHD w/attachment



KAREN HACKER, MD, MPH, DIRECTOR
ALLEGHENY COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT

WATER POLLUTION CONTROL & SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT
3901 PENN AVENUE • BUILDING 5 • PITTSBURGH, PA 15224-1318
PHONE: 412.578.8040 • FAX: 412.578.8053 • WWW.ACHD.NET

